# **EXHIBIT A**

to the Declaration of Lisa J. Cisneros in Support of Plaintiffs' Opposition Briefs

**REDACTED VERSION** 

In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE	)	
ANTITRUST LITIGATION	)	
	)	No. 11-CV-2509-LHK
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	)	
ALL ACTIONS.	)	
	)	

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF BRUCE CHIZEN

MARCH 15, 2013

Reported by: Rosalie A. Kramm, CSR No. 5469, CRR

### 

D :::	CD	$\alpha$ 1 ·
Deposition	of Rruce	('hizen
DCDOSIUOII	or Druce	CHIZCH

09:25:09 1	given up the CEO and president's jobs.
09:25:12 2	A. That's correct.
09:25:20 3	Q. So after May of 2008, did you did you or
09:25:24 4	have you done any work for Adobe?
09:25:26 5	A. No.
09:25:34 6	Q. How long did you work for Bill Campbell?
09:25:36 7	A. I worked for Mr. Campbell from are you
09:25:41 8	asking directly or within his organization?
09:25:44 9	Q. Well, I fair enough.
09:25:47 10	How where at what company did you and
09:25:52 11	Mr. Campbell work together?
09:25:53 12	A. Claris Corporation.
09:25:55 13	Q. And at that time was Mr. Campbell CEO of the
09:25:58 14	company?
09:25:58 15	A. Yes.
09:25:58 16	Q. And how long did you work for Claris?
09:26:00 17	A. From these are approximate dates.
09:26:04 18	Q. Right.
09:26:04 19	A. The fall of 1987 until 19 until February of
09:26:13 20	1994. I believe Mr. Campbell left either 1990 or '91.
09:26:19 21	So it would have been three to four years.
09:26:21 22	Q. And was there a point in time when you worked
09:26:23 23	directly for Mr. Campbell?
09:26:25 24	A. There was a short period of time prior to him
09:26:27 25	leaving where I worked directly for Mr. Campbell.

09:26:30 1	Q. Do you still see Bill Campbell?
09:26:32 2	A. I still stay in touch with Mr. Campbell.
09:26:35 3	Q. Would you say he's a friend of yours?
09:26:40 4	A. It's it's hard to define the word "friend."
09:26:45 5	He's somebody I respect. He's somebody who has helped
09:26:49 6	build my career. He has been a great mentor and I try to
09:26:53 7	stay in touch with him. Do I celebrate birthdays with
09:26:56 8	him? Typically not. Do I go on vacation with him and
09:27:00 9	his partner? No. Do I go out with, do I see him 10
09:27:07 10	times or 12 times a year? No.
09:27:09 11	So, you know, it all depends on definition of
09:27:12 12	"friend." But do I see him once or twice a year? Yes.
09:27:18 13	Do I have an occasion drink with him? Yes. Well, there
09:27:23 14	would be a quick email exchange on some some business
09:27:26 15	issue. Yes.
09:27:28 16	Q. Were you in more regular contact with
09:27:30 17	Mr. Campbell when you were working for Adobe?
09:27:38 18	A. Perhaps, but I'm not sure. It was never to the
09:27:41 19	degree in which I just defined "friend," 10, 12 times a
09:27:47 20	year and all that.
09:27:49 21	Q. Did so you came to Adobe as part of the
09:28:29 22	acquisition or merger between Adobe and Aldus?
09:28:33 23	A. Aldus, yes.
09:28:35 24	Q. Okay. And when you became the CEO in 2000, did
09:28:42 25	you take that job over from Mr. Warnock?
l l	

09:28:46 1	A. From Dr. Warnock, yes.
09:28:49 2	Q. And, again, just so we're clear, when we're
09:28:53 3	saying Dr. Warnock, we're referring to John Warnock?
09:28:58 4	A. Yes.
09:28:58 5	Q. Who was one of the founders of the company?
09:29:00 6	A. Yes.
09:29:06 7	Q. When you took over as CEO, what was
09:29:08 8	Mr. Geschke's job?
09:29:11 9	A. He had retired.
09:29:12 10	Q. Okay.
09:29:13 11	A. And he was co-chair of the board.
09:29:16 12	Q. And when you took over the CEO job
09:29:19 13	A. Let me restate that. He there were two
09:29:21 14	chairs. I used the title co-chair. He was chair.
09:29:26 15	Q. So when you took over as the CEO, Mr. Geschke
09:29:29 16	and Dr. Warnock were the co-chairs of the Adobe board?
09:29:32 17	A. They were the chairs of the Adobe board,
09:29:35 18	technically.
09:29:36 19	Q. Did they continue to serve in that role or job
09:29:38 20	throughout the entirety of the period of time that you
09:29:40 21	were the CEO of Adobe?
09:29:42 22	A. Yes.
09:30:12 23	MR. SAVERI: I need some exhibit tabs.
09:30:14 24	(Exhibit 1800 was marked for identification.)
25	

09:54:49 25

09:52:56 1	I do remember focusing on the two objectives I
09:53:02 2	had in mind, which I suspect at that time was making sure
09:53:07 3	that I didn't confuse the customer at the same time I
09:53:10 4	made sure that the Department of Justice got the right
09:53:13 5	message.
09:53:14 6	BY MR. SAVERI:
09:53:14 7	Q. Now, did you believe, though, at the time that
09:53:25 8	Apple and Adobe were competitors?
09:53:36 9	A. In 2005 we were more collaborators than we were
09:53:45 10	competitors. We competed in niche areas of our product
09:53:51 11	lines. I always believed that Apple could potentially be
09:53:58 12	a very big threat to Adobe, either by alienating us, not
09:54:07 13	giving us what we needed to optimize our products for
09:54:10 14	their architecture, their software, their hardware; or
09:54:15 15	competing aggressively against us. I always believed
09:54:18 16	that and was always concerned about that.
09:54:20 17	If you looked at the facts at the time, I
09:54:23 18	believe the areas in which we competed were few few
09:54:28 19	areas and not really material.
09:54:30 20	However, because we were undergoing the
09:54:34 21	discovery of the Department of Justice, I wanted to make
09:54:37 22	sure they understood not only the potential threat that
09:54:40 23	Apple would could be, but also the areas in which they
09:54:44 24	were already a threat even though they were relatively

immaterial, I believe, at the time.

09:54:50 1	Q. Well, so I I guess I'm trying to to focus
09:54:54 2	in and get your best recollection.
09:54:59 3	At the time well
09:55:01 4	A. And and I'll add, if you go back and look at
09:55:04 5	our SEC filings at the time, we always talked about Apple
09:55:09 6	and the point some of the point products being
09:55:11 7	competitive.
09:55:12 8	Q. So is it fair to say that at well, let me
09:55:16 9	just ask the question.
09:55:17 10	This article this interview was given in
09:55:19 11	about in April of 2005.
09:55:21 12	A. That's right before we received approval from
09:55:24 13	the Department of Justice.
09:55:26 14	Q. Was there friction in the Apple/Adobe
09:55:30 15	relationship at that time?
09:55:31 16	A. There was always for as long as I was CEO,
09:55:35 17	there was always friction between Apple and Adobe.
09:55:40 18	Q. Were you the CEO when Warnock and Jobs and Bill
09:55:51 19	Gates were all on the stage together at the Seybold
09:55:55 20	conference?
09:55:56 21	A. I was not at Aldus or Adobe. I was not with
09:56:02 22	the company at that point in time.
09:56:03 23	Q. Do you remember that event?
09:56:04 24	A. No.
09:56:06 25	Q. Now, at this time, and by "this time," April

09:56:11 1	2005, did you view Microsoft as a competitor of Adobe?
09:56:16 2	A. Yes.
09:56:17 3	Q. And
09:56:18 4	A. As well as a partner.
09:56:24 5	Q. Okay. Did in in what way was Microsoft a
09:56:30 6	competitor at this time?
09:56:35 7	A. They I'm not sure of the time frame, but
09:56:42 8	they were competing around that time frame aggressively
09:56:48 9	in a digital imaging space against products in the
09:56:54 10	category of Adobe Photoshop, which was a major revenue
09:57:00 11	contributor.
09:57:01 12	They were also working on ways to undermine our
09:57:05 13	Acrobat business and our PDF franchise, which was a
09:57:12 14	significant revenue contributor to Adobe.
09:57:17 15	And there were a number of other areas.
09:57:20 16	They were much more of a competitor than
09:57:25 17	somebody like Apple. At the same time, we needed to
09:57:30 18	collaborate with them because we wanted to optimize our
09:57:33 19	software for their operating system, for Microsoft
09:57:36 20	Windows.
09:57:40 21	Q. Well, in 2005, at this time in 2005, did Adobe
09:57:47 22	and Microsoft collaborate on a number of products?
09:57:54 23	A. I'm I would assume so, because we had no
09:57:58 24	choice, since our products worked on Microsoft Windows.
09:58:02 25	Also somewhere around that time frame I was

### Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 660 Filed 02/21/14 Page 9 of 82

Deposition	of Bruce	Chizen

10:53:46 1	Q. Did you trust him personally?
10:53:56 2	A. In regards to what?
10:53:58 3	Q. Well, when did you first meet Steve Jobs?
10:54:03 4	A. My first interaction with Steve Jobs is when he
10:54:07 5	first came back to Apple, and I was still I was off
10:54:12 6	running the graphics business, so it must have been in
10:54:16 7	mid-19 late '90s.
10:54:19 8	Q. So when you first met Jobs, were you working
10:54:21 9	for Adobe?
10:54:22 10	A. I was working for Adobe, yes.
10:54:24 11	Q. And when you first met Mr. Jobs, was Mr. Jobs
10:54:27 12	working for Apple?
10:54:28 13	A. Yeah. Let me let me correct one thing. I
10:54:30 14	worked at Microsoft in the years of 1983 to 1987, and I
10:54:35 15	might have had an interaction with Mr. Jobs. But I
10:54:38 16	certainly don't remember it specifically, and he
10:54:41 17	certainly wouldn't have remembered me.
10:54:44 18	Q. So the first time you you remember meeting
10:54:48 19	Mr. Jobs was at a time that you were at Adobe.
10:54:50 20	A. Yes.
10:54:51 21	Q. And Mr. Jobs was
10:54:53 22	A. Again, I I I was somewhere between
10:54:56 23	1983 and 1987 I was at a press conference with Mr. Gates
10:55:00 24	and Mr. Jobs, and somebody might have introduced me to
10:55:03 25	Mr. Jobs, but I don't specifically but I did see him

10:55:06 1	and I was in the same room with him.
10:55:08 2	Q. And I was just trying to figure out, I think
10:55:10 3	you said that you when you first met Mr. Jobs in kind
10:55:14 4	of a significant way you were working at Adobe?
10:55:16 5	A. Yes.
10:55:17 6	Q. And he had returned to Apple.
10:55:18 7	A. Yes.
10:55:19 8	Q. So had he was Bill Amelio still running
10:55:23 9	Apple, or had he left?
10:55:25 10	A. He had left.
10:55:26 11	Q. So that just kind of helps me place it.
10:55:30 12	How many from that point in time did you
10:55:34 13	regularly communicate with Steve Jobs?
10:55:36 14	A. No. My communication with Steve Jobs didn't
10:55:39 15	start occurring until Dr. Geschke resigned as president,
10:55:43 16	which was approximately 2000.
10:55:46 17	Q. From that point forward, though, did you speak
10:55:48 18	or communicate with Mr. Jobs on a regular basis?
10:55:51 19	A. Yes, more so when I took over as CEO in later
10:55:54 20	2000.
10:55:55 21	Q. Did you like him?
10:55:58 22	A. I respected him.
10:55:59 23	Q. Okay. Did you like him?
10:56:03 24	A. It didn't make a difference.
10:56:07 25	Q. Did you think he was a tough businessman?

## Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 660 Filed 02/21/14 Page 11 of 82 In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRU

Deposition of Bru	ice Ch	izen	In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
10:56:11	1	A.	Absolutely.
10:56:12	2	Q.	Did you think he was ruthless?
10:56:14	3	A.	Absolutely.
10:56:16	4	Q.	Do you think he was honest when he spoke to
10:56:18	5	you?	
10:56:35	6	Α.	Sometimes.
10:56:36	7	Q.	Sometimes not?
10:56:37	8	A.	Sometimes not.
10:57:04	9	Q.	Did you ever talk to Dr. Warnock about Steve
10:57:07	10	Jobs?	
10:57:08	11	A.	Yes.
10:57:09	12	Q.	Did Dr. Warnock share your views of Mr. Jobs?
10:57:17	13	Do you kr	now?
10:57:21	14	A.	I don't think so.
10:57:23	15	Q.	Okay. In what way? How were they excuse
10:57:26	16	me. Let	me ask a better question.
10:57:28	17		How were Dr. Warnock's views of Steve Jobs
10:57:33	18	different	than yours?
10:57:34	19		MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Objection to form.
10:57:35	20		THE WITNESS: I can't I don't want to am
10:57:36	21	unable to	tell you how Dr. Warnock thinks about Steve
10:57:42	22	Jobs. I	think Dr. Warnock is in the best position to do
10:57:45	23	that.	
10:57:45	24		MR. SAVERI: Fair enough.
10:57:46	25	Q.	Did he ever tell you he thought Steve Jobs was

11:16:29 1	that he was displeased?
11:16:31 2	A. Yes.
11:16:42 3	Q. Did you understand that at some point in time
11:16:43 4	Steve Jobs came to believe that Adobe was not a partner
11:16:46 5	of Apple's?
11:16:48 6	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Object to form.
11:16:49 7	THE WITNESS: I don't know what Steve believed
11:16:51 8	or didn't believe.
11:16:52 9	BY MR. SAVERI:
11:16:53 10	Q. Did he ever express that to you? That is that
11:16:56 11	he had come to the conclusion that Adobe was no longer a
11:17:01 12	partner of
11:17:02 13	A. No.
11:17:03 14	Q of Apple's?
11:17:04 15	A. Not that I recall, no.
11:17:17 16	Q. Can you recall or identify a decision that you
11:17:19 17	made as CEO of Adobe that caused the most friction
11:17:24 18	between the two companies?
11:17:27 19	A. I can recall a number of decisions I made. I
11:17:29 20	don't know which one was the most decisive.
11:17:34 21	Q. Can you describe those for me, please.
11:17:36 22	A. One was the decision to optimize for OS X
11:17:47 23	around our product LiveCycle, so in conjunction with
11:17:54 24	product feature additions, as opposed to just doing OS X
11:17:59 25	only

11:17:59 1	Q. Right.
11:17:59 2	A additions. Steve was not happy with that.
11:18:10 3	Steve showed me some prototypes of a Macintosh
11:18:15 4	computer on the Intel architecture before they were
11:18:19 5	publicly announced, and we had just gotten done
11:18:23 6	completing our OS X initiatives, which were painful and
11:18:27 7	hard, and it would have been a lot of work to now port
11:18:30 8	them to an Intel architecture, and I told him that, and
11:18:33 9	he didn't like that.
11:18:35 10	Q. Okay.
11:18:36 11	A. Those are two examples of things that he didn't
11:18:38 12	like. He didn't like that the products on Windows looked
11:18:45 13	and felt like the products on Macintosh, thereby not
11:18:52 14	differentiating the two platforms, which was upsetting to
11:18:56 15	him.
11:18:57 16	Q. Anything else that you would identify as
11:18:59 17	particular decisions that you made that
11:19:02 18	A. There would be product features that we
11:19:04 19	weren't I don't recall which one, because the list
11:19:07 20	would go on and on that we were implementing that
11:19:11 21	didn't take full advantage of the unique capabilities of
11:19:16 22	his hardware and software.
11:19:26 23	Q. When when Steve Jobs was displeased with any
11:19:31 24	of these decisions that you made, how did he express that
11:19:33 25	to you?

11:19:36 1	A. It's hard for me to tell whether he expressed
11:19:39 2	all his displeasure on each of my decisions, but many of
11:19:43 3	his many of the decisions that I made he would
11:19:47 4	typically pick up the phone and start screaming at me.
11:19:51 5	Q. Okay. So he had he had your cell phone
11:19:55 6	number?
11:19:55 7	A. He probably had my cell phone number. He
11:19:58 8	definitely had my home number. And he had my office
11:20:01 9	number.
11:20:01 10	Q. So he would call you from time to time and
11:20:04 11	express his displeasure in in no uncertain terms; is
11:20:08 12	that fair?
11:20:09 13	A. That is very fair.
11:20:10 14	Q. Would he also did he also send you emails,
11:20:12 15	too, sometimes?
11:20:18 16	A. On the bigger issues he typically picked up on
11:20:21 17	the phone. On the ones that were just slightly annoying,
11:20:24 18	he'd send me an email. He was much more effective on the
11:20:29 19	phone.
11:20:35 20	Q. During the time that you were CEO, do you think
11:20:38 21	that the relationship between the two companies, that is
11:20:40 22	Adobe and Apple, got worse?
11:20:42 23	A. Yes.
11:20:42 24	Q. Were there particular points in time or
11:20:44 25	milestones in that arc where you where you think the

11:20:51 1	relationship did get significantly worse?
11:20:55 2	A. Yeah, again, I'm recalling, so I you know,
11:21:00 3	my timetables could be off. I might miss some events.
11:21:06 4	Certainly when he introduced again, I think
11:21:09 5	it was Final Cut, which was the video editing solution,
11:21:13 6	he had purchased that solution from Macromedia, this is
11:21:17 7	way before we acquired them, and he told me that he was
11:21:19 8	purchasing it at the time to go into the consumer market,
11:21:24 9	and he ended up going into the professional market.
11:21:27 10	Q. Right.
11:21:27 11	A. So that that was a disturbing situation, and
11:21:33 12	we discussed it, and he said, yeah, we had to change our
11:21:37 13	direction because of a whole bunch of reasons, which I
11:21:41 14	clearly understood. That doesn't mean I liked it.
11:21:47 15	The not getting to OS X as quickly as he wanted
11:21:52 16	us to across the product line was painful for the
11:21:58 17	relationship from his perspective.
11:22:04 18	Him launching Aperture, which was a digital
11:22:09 19	imaging product, which did compete direct didn't
11:22:14 20	compete directly with Adobe Photoshop, but we thought it
11:22:19 21	infringed on our franchise and hurt our partnership.
11:22:23 22	Those are the ones that stood out for me while
11:22:25 23	I was there.
11:22:27 24	Q. Did he pick up the phone and call you about all
11:22:29 25	of those things?

### Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 660 Filed 02/21/14 Page 16 of 82

Deposition	of Bruce	Chizen

11:22:33 1	A. OS X, yes; Final Cut, that was me calling him.
11:22:41 2	Q. Okay.
11:22:43 3	A. And then Aperture, I think he called me to tell
11:22:46 4	me that he was introducing the product, and it didn't
11:22:50 5	compete.
11:22:52 6	Q. So when he called you, and you had these
11:22:58 7	spirited discussions, do you think he was angry from time
11:23:04 8	to time?
11:23:05 9	A. I I don't know. I have no way of knowing
11:23:10 10	what his feelings were. I believed that everything that
11:23:15 11	Steve did was in the best interest of Apple computer, as
11:23:24 12	I believe that everything that I did was in the best
11:23:26 13	interest of Adobe Systems. And that was the way I
11:23:29 14	approached Steve Jobs.
11:23:31 15	So I don't know whether he was angry, whether
11:23:34 16	he was sad, whether he liked me, whether he disliked me,
11:23:37 17	nor did it matter.
11:23:38 18	Q. Well, did he ever tell you that he thought you
11:23:40 19	had been untruthful to him?
11:23:45 20	A. I I don't recall.
11:23:46 21	Q. Did he ever tell you that he thought you were a
11:23:48 22	liar?
11:23:50 23	A. I don't recall him ever telling I suspect he
11:23:53 24	would have liked to tell me that, but I don't think he
11:23:57 25	ever said that.

11:23:59 1	Q. Okay.
11:23:59 2	A. At least I don't recall it.
11:24:01 3	Q. And do you recall if he ever said he couldn't
11:24:03 4	trust you anymore?
11:24:05 5	A. I don't recall him saying that.
11:24:06 6	Q. Okay. Let me just go back for a second.
11:24:13 7	When you talk I asked you some questions
11:24:15 8	about companies that that Adobe collaborated with.
11:24:20 9	Prior to the acquisition of Macromedia, did you view
11:24:25 10	Macromedia as a company that Adobe collaborated with?
11:24:30 11	A. No.
11:24:31 12	Q. Was there prior to the acquisition of
11:24:34 13	Macromedia by Adobe, was there a business relationship
11:24:38 14	between the two companies, licensing, products,
11:24:43 15	development agreements, that sort of thing?
11:24:45 16	A. If there was, it was because we had no choice,
11:24:47 17	but we were busy suing each other in the courts.
11:24:51 18	Q. Right. Okay. Let me switch subjects a little
11:26:19 19	bit. During the time that you were the CEO of Adobe, how
11:26:22 20	many people worked for Adobe?
11:26:25 21	A.
11:26:32 23	Q. How many physical locations did Adobe operate
11:26:35 24	in?
11:26:38 25	A. I I don't recall.

Deposition of Br			9-LHK Document 660 Filed 02/21/14 Page 18 of 82 In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
11:26:40	1	Q.	Well, were there several?
11:26:41	2	Α.	Yes.
11:26:44	3	Q.	Did Adobe operate across across the world?
11:26:48	4	Α.	Yes.
11:26:53	5	Q.	Can you tell me how significant were labor
11:26:59	6	costs for	Adobe?
11:27:02	7	Α.	Very significant.
11:27:03	8	Q.	Is there some metric you we could use to
11:27:06	9	talk about	that in terms of the budget?
11:27:08	10	Α.	I don't I don't I don't have specific
11:27:12	11	data that	I can recall. It's certainly a probably
11:27:18	12	available	through some of the SEC filings. I just don't
11:27:21	13	know.	
11:27:22	14	Q.	But would you agree with me that labor costs
11:27:24	15	were a sig	gnificant part of Adobe's costs?
11:27:28	16	Α.	Yes.
11:27:30	17	Q.	Can you identify any any item of cost that
11:27:34	18	was more s	significant at Adobe?
11:27:40	19	A.	Not off the top of my head.
11:27:44	20	Q.	So as you sit here today, can you give me any
11:27:46	21	sense of w	what percentage of Adobe's costs were labor
11:27:49	22	costs? It	t is a long time ago.
11 07 50	2.2	70	7 Janes time and T famout that DCI

11:27:56 25 When you were the CEO, though, did you see that

Congratulations.

A long time ago, I forgot that P&L.

A.

Q.

11:27:52 23

11:27:55 24

11:27:59 1	kind of information?
11:28:00 2	A. Yes.
11:28:05 3	Q. As the CEO, did you have responsibility for
11:28:08 4	setting a budget for labor costs?
11:28:13 5	A. The way we did budgeting at Adobe was more on
11:28:17 6	the departmental side, so the the labor costs were the
11:28:24 7	result of how we allocated the expenditures. So I didn't
11:28:28 8	specifically say, let's allocate X percent towards labor
11:28:32 9	or towards personnel.
11:28:34 10	It was the aggregate of that that I would look
11:28:36 11	at after the business planning was done.
11:28:39 12	Q. So could you give me could you describe for
11:28:41 13	me what your regular role was as CEO with respect to
11:28:46 14	setting compensation levels at Adobe.
11:28:49 15	A. Yes. I set the compensation philosophy of the
11:28:53 16	company. I had to approve and wanted to approve the
11:28:58 17	total merit increases. I would review at a certain level
11:29:04 18	the merit increases by function and by title. I would
11:29:10 19	look at the external data to make sure that we were
11:29:16 20	staying within our compensation philosophy.

11:	29	:44	2
11:	29	:46	3
11:	29	:50	4
11:	29	:58	5
11:	30	:02	6
11:	30	:06	7
11:	30	:11	8

Q. When you say a range, what do you mean?

For every position, we would have a salary So depending on a person's individual experience, their role and responsibility, the job would pay externally between X and Y according to the data we had, and we said philosophically we wanted to pay within the X percent and the Y percent of that range.

Q. Right.

Α.

11:30:14 10

11:30:14

There were always 11:30:21 12 exceptions. Acquisitions, people who had incredible 11:30:27 13 talent that were really providing a bigger role than 11:30:30 14 their title did, so there were always exceptions. But for the most part, I took responsibility philosophically to comply to what I believed to be the right thing to do.

- 11:30:33 15 11:30:40 16 11:30:44 17 11:30:47 18
- Was everybody who worked at Adobe assigned a Q. job title?
- 11:30:50 19 Α. I think so.

11:30:51 20

Ο. And was every -- so was everybody at Adobe assigned or put into a job title with which a salary

11:31:00 21 11:31:05 22

range was associated? I think so. But the details for how that

11:31:07 23 11:31:13 24

philosophy got executed, I don't know the tactical

11:31:17 25

systems around it.

Α.

Deposition of Bru	ice Ch	nizen
11:31:18	1	Q.
11:31:20	2	involveme:
11:31:23	3	you di
11:31:26	4	terminolo
11:31:29	5	range?
11:31:30	6	Α.
11:31:31	7	Q.
11:31:32	8	Α.
11:31:38	9	we would
11:31:41	10	within th
11:31:44	11	to go wit
11:31:47	12	of the jo
11:31:52	13	an indivi
11:31:58	14	a certain
11:32:04	15	was eithe

- Q. Well, and I'm just trying to find out what your involvement is in this structure and this system. Do you -- did you have any role in, using your kind of terminology, in setting the X and the Y of a salary range?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And what was your role?
- A. At a -- at a functional -- as a company-wide, we would say, for specific functions we wanted to pay within this range. For other functions, we were willing to go within a different range depending on the function of the job. I would not get involved in the details of an individual's salary unless -- two reasons: They were a certain level and above, some -- what we -- I think it was either director or senior director. I forget the titles at the time.
- 11:32:17 19

11:32:07 16

- 11:32:20 20
- 11:32:23 21
- 11:32:26 22
- 11:32:29 23
- 11:32:32 24
- 11:32:34 25

- Q. Were the ranges set based in part on market metrics or -- or market surveys?
- A. We -- we relied heavily on external data. So it -- I don't -- I don't know which ones, but Radford would be an example of that, the Radford data.
- Q. Did you yourself review or were you presented Radford surveys as part of your review of the

11:32:37 1	compensation?
11:32:38 2	A. At a summary level.
11:32:39 3	Q. Okay. And were there particular market targets
11:32:45 4	that Adobe used as benchmarks or guidelines for setting
11:32:49 5	salary ranges?
11:32:51 6	A. Yes.
11:32:51 7	Q. And what were they?
11:32:53 8	A. I don't know specifics, but they tended to be
11:32:56 9	software, high-tech, those that were geographically
11:33:03 10	similar to wherever the position existed.
11:33:06 11	Q. And would was it your practice or your
11:33:09 12	philosophy to set those salary ranges at a certain
11:33:14 13	percentage or at a certain level based on what your
11:33:17 14	what Adobe understood the market to be, based on Radford
11:33:21 15	data or other information?
11:33:23 16	A. Yeah, if I understand your question, correctly,
11:33:25 17	yes.
11:33:26 18	Q. Okay. The and so from time to time did the
11:33:32 19	X and Y of a salary range change?
11:33:35 20	A. Yes. So depending on the market data and
11:33:39 21	typically, it would be reviewed once a year during
11:33:42 22	planning process.
11:33:43 23	Q. And would you have a role in or did you
11:33:45 24	approve that on a regular basis?
11:33:49 25	Let me ask a better question.

11:33:51 1	Was it part of your job to approve changes to
11:33:54 2	those salary ranges?
11:33:57 3	A. No. I would approve the annual merit
11:34:00 4	increases. I would review the bonuses paid out to the
11:34:06 5	top percentage of the company, so it tended to be
11:34:10 6	Q. Right.
11:34:10 7	A management positions, above. I don't
11:34:13 8	believe I would look at the salary range data. I just
11:34:15 9	wanted to know that I relied on the HR department to
11:34:19 10	tell me they were complying with our philosophy, which
11:34:22 11	was to pay within a range, and if we if we were going
11:34:28 12	to move a complete function to a different range, then I
11:34:33 13	would get involved.
11:34:34 14	So, for example, if we were paying engineers in
11:34:37 15	the 40 to 60 percentile, and if there was a proposal to
11:34:46 16	start paying at a higher range, 70 to 90 percent, that
11:34:49 17	would come to my attention, I believe.
11:34:52 18	Q. Okay. But did Adobe, for example, use these
11:35:06 19	to set salary ranges for particular job titles or job
11:35:10 20	categories at a range that was calculated at 40 percent
11:35:13 21	or 60 percent of market?
11:35:14 22	A. I again, at a high level, I know what we
11:35:19 23	did. I don't know what we did for a specific position
11:35:22 24	and that's a level of detail I I I don't I
11:35:26 25	don't recall getting involved in.
J	

11:35:28 1	Q. Okay. Fair enough. But if if there had
11:35:30 2	been a salary range established, and let's just say
11:35:35	hypothetically it was 40 percent to 60 percent of market
11:35:38 4	based for a particular job, like a software engineer,
11:35:45	if the Radford Survey data came back and said, the market
11:35:53 6	has gone up 5 percent, did you did you decide whether
11:36:05	that 40 to 60 percent would be raised 5 percent to
11:36:10 8	reflect the Radford data or market information, or was
11:36:14	that something that the HR compensation folks did?
11:36:16 10	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Object to form.
11:36:19 11	THE WITNESS: Typically, the HR people would
11:36:21 12	come to me and say, we really need to move the ranges on
11:36:25 13	this based on the Radford data. Here is the Radford
11:36:28 14	data. So it would be me approving a recommendation.
11:36:33 15	Again, the philosophy of the company, which I
11:36:38 16	said, we're going to pay within this percentile for
11:36:41 17	these at a high level
11:36:42 18	BY MR. SAVERI:
11:36:43 19	Q. Right.
11:36:43 20	A for, you know, engineering product, we'll
11:36:45 21	pay this, for the rest of the organization we're paying
11:36:47 22	this within the Radford, so if Radford moved
11:36:51 23	automatically, the that would move.
11:36:53 24	Q. And that was my question, whether in order for
11:36:55 25	the compensation for any particular people who fell

11:36:58 1	within that range to move, did you have did you have
11:37:01 2	to validate Radford's conclusion that it moved
11:37:04 3	A. No.
11:37:04 4	Q 5 percent or that was just something
11:37:06 5	A. That was typically no, with one caveat, we
11:37:10 6	also had to live within our budget. So if Radford moved
11:37:14 7	20 percent, and we can only afford to do a merit increase
11:37:19 8	for the company of 5 percent, we had to make a conscious
11:37:22 9	decision of which positions we were going to let go to
11:37:26 10	the 20 percent versus which ones we were going to keep at
11:37:30 11	2 percent. That's when I would get involved.
11:37:32 12	Q. Did that ever happen from time to time, that
11:37:33 13	the market data came back in a way that you couldn't
11:37:37 14	afford?
11:37:38 15	A. Typically not. Adobe was such a cash rich
11:37:44 16	company, expense was not my number one concern.
11:37:48 17	Q. Okay.
11:37:49 18	A. I was more concerned about revenue growth. So
11:37:51 19	I didn't I wasn't I wanted to pay in a way where we
11:37:56 20	paid fairly, where we weren't losing people, we weren't
11:38:03 21	able to attract people within appropriate fair
11:38:09 22	compensation. If somebody wanted to get rich, if it was
11:38:12 23	all about compensation, Adobe would have been a terrible
11:38:14 24	place for them to come. Because philosophically, we
11:38:19 25	weren't high payers, we weren't extreme. We didn't we

11:38:22 1	didn't do what some of the other companies were doing.
11:38:25 2	We wanted people to come to Adobe who were passionate
11:38:28 3	about our mission. We wanted to pay them fairly, and my
11:38:31 4	definition of "fairly" was within the Radford ranges.
11:38:35 5	Q. Well, was recruiting and retention important to
11:38:39 6	you as the CEO
11:38:41 7	A. Yes.
11:38:41 8	Q of Adobe?
11:38:43 9	A. Yes.
11:38:45 10	Q. Was it important to the business success of
11:38:48 11	Adobe?
11:38:49 12	A. Yes.
11:38:50 13	Q. Was there ever a time when recruiting and
11:38:53 14	retention was not important to the business success of
11:38:56 15	Adobe while you were CEO?
11:38:58 16	A. No.
11:38:59 17	Q. Now, was setting appropriate levels of
11:39:05 18	compensation an important component to the successful
11:39:11 19	recruiting and retention of talent at Adobe?
11:39:14 20	A. Yes.
11:39:37 21	Q. I'm going to change subjects again.
11:39:39 22	Did there come a point in time when you became
11:39:41 23	aware that the Department of Justice was investigating
11:39:44 24	Adobe's recruiting practices, in particular its agreement
11:39:49 25	with with Apple?

### 

D :::	CD	$\alpha_1$ .
Deposition	of Bruce	( hizen
DCDOSINOII	or Druce	CHIZCH

11:39:52 1	A. I think it was after I left.
11:39:54 2	Q. Okay.
11:39:55 3	A. And that's when I got a call from Adobe's
11:40:01 4	counsel.
11:40:01 5	Q. Well, just so we're clear, there is no
11:40:04 6	particular at least I understand that the Department
11:40:06 7	of Justice investigation began with civil investigative
11:40:11 8	demands in March of 2009.
11:40:14 9	A. I I left in 2007. So I was long gone.
11:40:17 10	Q. So to the best of your recollection, did you
11:40:22 11	learn about the Department of Justice investigation that
11:40:26 12	I've been discussing after you left Adobe?
11:40:29 13	A. Yes.
11:40:30 14	Q. Now, did you, in connection, though, with
11:40:33 15	Adobe's response to the Department of Justice
11:40:35 16	investigation were you asked to provide any
11:40:38 17	information to lawyers about discussions you had made or
11:40:46 18	discussions you'd had with Steve Jobs?
11:40:47 19	A. I was asked a number of questions, yes.
11:40:49 20	Q. Were you asked those by Adobe lawyers?
11:40:52 21	A. Adobe's counsel. Adobe's external counsel.
11:40:54 22	Q. Fair enough. Did you speak with the Department
11:41:00 23	of Justice in connection with that investigation?
11:41:05 24	A. No.
11:41:06 25	Q. So, for example, were you ever did you ever

11:43:40 1	just mentioned?
11:43:44 2	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: The what?
11:43:45 3	BY MR. SAVERI:
11:43:45 4	Q. The provision that you just mentioned.
11:43:48 5	Mr. Chizen, I think you just told me that in preparation
11:43:51 6	for the deposition today, you looked at some a section
11:43:54 7	of a larger document.
11:43:55 8	A. Yes.
11:43:56 9	Q. And my question is, does this document which
11:43:57 10	I've handed to you contain that the section that you
11:44:00 11	just referred to?
11:44:02 12	A. If I could go to the bathroom, first
11:44:05 13	MR. SAVERI: Please. Let's take a break.
11:44:08 14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
11:44:14 15	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now off the record at
11:44:17 16	11:44.
11:44:18 17	(Recess was taken.)
11:47:23 18	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record at
11:47:23 19	11:47.
11:47:25 20	BY MR. SAVERI:
11:47:26 21	Q. Let me withdraw the last question I asked and
11:47:28 22	let me ask you this question.
11:47:29 23	Do you have Exhibit 1147 in front of you?
11:47:31 24	A. Yes, I do.
11:47:32 25	Q. Did you have any role in its preparation?

11:47:38 1	A. Other than a quick conversation with Adobe's
11:47:42 2	outside legal firm on just in general, but not in the
11:47:51 3	preparation of this document.
11:47:52 4	Q. So did you see this review this document in
11:47:56 5	any fashion before it was submitted to the Department of
11:47:58 6	Justice?
11:47:59 7	A. No, I did not.
11:48:07 8	Q. I'm going to come back to that. You can put it
11:48:10 9	aside for now.
11:48:16 10	Let me hand you what has previously been marked
11:48:20 11	as Exhibit 1016 in this case. This is Apple's submission
11:48:26 12	to the Department of Justice in connection with the
11:48:30 13	Department of Justice's investigation.
11:48:32 14	The question I have for you is, have you ever
11:48:34 15	seen this before?
11:48:35 16	A. Unless it was the brief document in which I
11:48:37 17	looked at, no.
11:48:38 18	Q. Okay. Did you provide any information to any
11:48:50 19	Apple lawyers in connection with their preparation of
11:48:52 20	submission in the preparation of their submission to
11:48:55 21	the DOJ?
11:48:56 22	A. No, I did not.
11:48:58 23	Q. You can put that aside.
11:49:23 24	Did Apple and Adobe have an agreement that
11:49:27 25	limited the hiring of those of the two companies'

11:49:34	1	employees or vice versa?
11:49:36	2	A. Steve Jobs and I had a verbal understanding.
11:49:41	3	Q. Did did Bell Canada and Adobe have an
11:49:47	4	agreement that limited the hiring of those companies'
11:49:52	5	employees or vice versa?
11:49:55	6	A. As I now understand it, yes.
11:49:57	7	Q. Did you have any role in reaching that
11:49:58	8	agreement?
11:49:59	9	A. I in reaching the agreement, no; approving
11:50:01 1	LO	them on a list, yes.
11:50:11 1	11	Q. Now, we talked about EFI a little bit earlier.
11:50:16 1	12	But let me make sure it's clear. Did Adobe have an
11:50:19 1	13	agreement with EFI that limited the hiring of those
11:50:22 1	L4	companies' employees or vice versa?
11:50:25 1	15	A. I agreed to a non-solicitation with the CEO.
11:50:33 1	16	Q. And did Adobe and EMC have an agreement that
11:50:36 1	L7	limited the hiring of those companies' employees or vice
11:50:39 1	18	versa?
11:50:40 1	19	A. I verbally agreed with the CEO not to solicit
11:50:46 2	20	their employees actively.
11:50:48 2	21	Q. Did Adobe have an agreement with Four Points
11:50:53 2	22	Solutions, Limited that limited the hiring of Adobe and
11:50:56 2	23	that company's employees?
11:50:59 2	24	A. If they are on the list, yes, but I'm not even
11:51:01 2	25	sure who they are.

11:51:02 1	Q. Did Adobe have a similar or strike that.
11:51:04 2	Did Adobe have an agreement with the New
11:51:07 3	Toronto Group that limited the hiring of those company's
11:51:11 4	employees or vice versa?
11:51:13 5	A. I don't know who they are, but if they are on
11:51:14 6	the list, then I then they did.
11:51:16 7	Q. How about Oracle, did Adobe and Oracle have an
11:51:20 8	agreement that limited the hiring of those companies'
11:51:21 9	employees?
11:51:22 10	A. Not that I'm aware of.
11:51:27 11	Q. Service Source, did Adobe and Service Source
11:51:29 12	have an agreement that limited the hiring of those
11:51:32 13	companies's employees or vice versa?
11:51:37 14	A. To the best of my knowledge, yes.
11:51:38 15	Q. How about SyncroQuest, did Adobe and
11:51:41 16	SyncroQuest have an agreement that limited the hiring of
11:51:44 17	those companies' employees?
11:51:44 18	A. I don't know who they are. But if they are on
11:51:47 19	the list, I suspect so.
11:51:48 20	Q. Okay. How about the University of
11:51:50 21	San Francisco, did Adobe and the University of
11:51:54 22	San Francisco have an agreement?
11:51:55 23	A. Not that I'm aware of.
11:51:58 24	Q. Do you know if Dr. Geschke made such an
11:52:00 25	agreement?

### 

Deposition of Bruc			9-LHK Document 660 Filed 02/21/14 Page 32 of 82  In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
11:53:39	1	Α.	Yes.
11:53:39	2	Q.	And with whom did you make it?
11:53:41	3	А.	With Guy Gecht, the chief executive officer.
11:53:45	4	Q.	And do you recall when you made that agreement?
11:53:47	5	А.	No.
11:53:51	6	Q.	And what was the agreement?
11:53:52	7	А.	That we would not actively solicit his
11:53:55	8	employees	•
11:53:59	9	Q.	And when you say "not actively solicit" their
11:54:01	10	employees	, what does that mean?
11:54:04	11	А.	Our recruiters would keep them would not
11:54:06	12	proactive	ly call an EFI employee to see if they were
11:54:10	13	intereste	d in working for Adobe.
11:54:12	14	Q.	Did Adobe from time to time maintain a list of
11:54:16	15	the compar	nies with whom there were recruiting
11:54:22	16	restriction	ons?
11:54:23	17	А.	There was one list that was put together to
11:54:25	18	clarify a	ll the different discussions we might have had.
11:54:28	19	Q.	Did that was that referred to as a
11:54:31	20	do-not-ca	ll list?
11:54:32	21	А.	I don't know the I'd have to see the list to
11:54:35	22	see what	it
11:54:36	23	Q.	Okay. Let me just let me show you what's
11:54:55	24	been mark	ed previously as Exhibit 226.
11:55:00	25		Do you have that in front of you?

11:55:01 1	A. Yes, I do.
11:55:02 2	Q. And Exhibit 226 is a two-page document. The
11:55:11 3	first page is an email from someone named Natalie Kessler
11:55:14 4	to a number of individuals. On the back of it there is a
11:55:20 5	list that is entitled, "Talent acquisition, companies
11:55:23 6	that are off-limits, updated June 17, 2008."
11:55:28 7	Do you have that in front of you?
11:55:29 8	A. Yes, I do.
11:55:30 9	Q. Is that the list that you were referring to?
11:55:32 10	A. I was already gone at this point in time.
11:55:34 11	Q. Okay. Was there a do you recall a list, a
11:55:39 12	similar list having been prepared while you still were
11:55:44 13	the CEO of the company?
11:55:45 14	A. There was a similar list. I'm not sure if the
11:55:48 15	names would have been the same.
11:55:50 16	Q. Just in terms of your recollection, do you
11:55:51 17	recall a list that that resembled this, the way it
11:55:56 18	looked?
11:55:56 19	A. Yes, I did.
11:55:57 20	Q. And it had identified companies and some
11:56:02 21	description of the agreements.
11:56:04 22	A. Yes.
11:56:04 23	Q. Did you help prepare that list?
11:56:06 24	A. I approved the list.
11:56:08 25	Q. Who prepared the list?

Deposition of Bro			9-LHK Document 660 Filed 02/21/14 Page 34 of 82 In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
11:56:14	1	Α.	I don't know. I'm assuming the HR department.
11:56:16	2	Q.	Do you recall when that list was first
11:56:18	3	prepared?	
11:56:18	4	А.	No.
11:56:20	5	Q.	Was it a list that was updated on a regular
11:56:23	6	basis?	
11:56:25	7	А.	I only recall reviewing one list once.
11:56:28	8	Q.	Do you recall when you when that was?
11:56:30	9	Α.	No.
11:56:31	10	Q.	And do you recall who prepared it?
11:56:34	11	Α.	It would have been it I don't recall who.
11:56:38	12	Q.	Was it Theresa Townsley?
11:56:40	13	Α.	I don't it could have been Theresa, could
11:56:44	14	have been	Donna Morris, it all depended on the timing.
11:56:46	15	It I w	ould have expected it to come from the HR
11:56:49	16	organizat	ion.
11:56:49	17	Q.	So is it fair to say that your recollection is
11:56:51	18	it was ge	nerated somewhere within the the HR
11:56:54	19	organizat:	ion?
11:56:56	20	А.	That would have been that's my expectation.
11:56:58	21	Q.	And you're not sure, as you sit here today,
11:57:02	22	whether i	t was Theresa Townsley or Donna Morris
11:57:06	23	A.	No.

-- who was the head of the -- that organization

at that time that it was prepared?

Q.

11:57:06 24

11:57:08 25

12:18:38 1	we're recruiting Ron Okamoto?
12:18:43 2	A. Not that I recall. It would surprise me if
12:18:46 3	Steve would tell me ahead of time he was recruiting
12:18:49 4	somebody.
12:18:49 5	Q. So, for example, he Steve Jobs didn't did
12:18:52 6	Steve Jobs call you and ask your permission to recruit or
12:18:55 7	hire Ron Okamoto?
12:18:57 8	A. Not that I recall.
12:18:58 9	Q. Okay. Did to the best of your knowledge,
12:19:02 10	did anybody at Apple talk to anybody at Adobe and ask for
12:19:06 11	permission to hire Ron Okamoto?
12:19:08 12	A. It's possible. I don't know.
12:19:09 13	Q. But you have no personal knowledge of that.
12:19:11 14	A. No personal recollection.
12:19:13 15	Q. Did did Jeff did Steve Jobs call you and
12:19:18 16	let you know that they were recruiting Susan Prescott?
12:19:21 17	A. I don't know.
12:19:22 18	Q. Did he ask you for permission to speak with
12:19:26 19	Susan Prescott?
12:19:27 20	A. I do not know.
12:19:28 21	Q. Okay. Did he ask you permission to hire Susan
12:19:31 22	Prescott?
12:19:32 23	A. I do not remember.
12:19:33 24	Q. Do you know if anybody who worked for Steve
12:19:34 25	Jobs at Apple talked to anybody at Adobe and discussed

12:19:38 1	the hiring of Susan Prescott?
12:19:39 2	A. I do not know.
12:19:43 3	Q. And do you recall whether whether either of
12:19:47 4	those individuals left before you reached the agreement
12:19:50 5	with Mr. Jobs?
12:19:53 6	A. I don't know.
12:19:54 7	Q. Okay.
12:19:59 8	A. This was early. When did Ron Okamoto and Susan
12:20:04 9	Prescott?
12:20:05 10	Q. It's not it's not altogether clear to me,
12:20:08 11	but it is, like, 2002, 2003, and the agreement was 2005.
12:20:13 12	A. Yeah.
12:20:14 13	Q. So I was just trying to get the sequence right.
12:20:17 14	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: I object as to form.
12:20:18 15	THE WITNESS: Yeah, because at least
12:20:20 16	MR. SAVERI: I'll show you some documents. I
12:20:25 17	shouldn't have a conversation with you about that. So
12:20:26 18	let me let me respect that and follow the rules.
12:20:28 19	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
12:20:36 20	BY MR. SAVERI:
12:20:39 21	Q. Who first broached the subject of an agreement
12:20:42 22	regarding recruiting between Apple and Adobe?
12:20:47 23	A. I believe that would be Mr. Jobs.
12:20:48 24	Q. Okay. And can you tell me what happened. Did
12:20:53 25	he call you?

12:20:54 1	A. Yeah, I I don't remember. It would have
12:20:56 2	been a phone call. It was not something that was high on
12:20:59 3	my radar. When Susan and Ron left, I understood why they
12:21:03 4	were leaving, and I was I didn't like the fact they
12:21:06 5	were leaving, but I well, I understood why they were
12:21:11 6	leaving; and it was in their best interests and their
12:21:15 7	careers.
12:21:17 8	I would have never called Steve and said, let's
12:21:19 9	put an agreement in place. That would have been Steve
12:21:22 10	calling me.
12:21:23 11	Q. Okay. So was the agreement between Adobe and
12:21:32 12	Apple Steve Jobs' idea?
12:21:34 13	A. Yes.
12:21:43 14	Q. In that first phone call, what did Steve Jobs
12:21:47 15	tell you?
12:21:47 16	A. I don't remember the phone call.
12:21:49 17	Q. Okay. Do you remember there was a phone call?
12:21:53 18	A. I'm speculating that the way in answering
12:21:57 19	your question before, you asked me, how did Steve
12:22:00 20	approach me? I said, probably by phone, because it
12:22:03 21	wouldn't have been Steve's style to send me an email
12:22:07 22	starting with that conversation.
12:22:11 23	Q. What what led to that phone call?
12:22:13 24	A. I don't know. I I can certainly speculate.
12:22:19 25	Q. Well, tell me your best recollection.

D '	CD	$\alpha$ 1 ·
Deposition	of Bruce	( hizen
DCDOSINOII	or Druce	CHIZCH

12:22:20 1	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Objection to form.
12:22:22 2	THE WITNESS: Speculation, not recollection; he
12:22:24 3	probably wanted to hire Ron Okamoto and Susan Prescott,
12:22:28 4	so he wanted to feel me out as to that, but I don't know.
12:22:32 5	Or maybe it was right after. I I don't know.
12:22:37 6	BY MR. SAVERI:
12:22:38 7	Q. So in the first conversation where the subject
12:22:40 8	of the agreement came up between you and Steve Jobs, did
12:22:45 9	you and he discuss any particular persons?
12:22:49 10	A. I don't know. I don't remember.
12:22:53 11	Q. Now, at the well, let me go through the
12:23:10 12	sequence. After you received the phone call from Steve
12:23:19 13	Jobs, what did you do?
12:23:26 14	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Object to form.
12:23:27 15	THE WITNESS: I don't know. I suspect I agreed
12:23:30 16	to it.
12:23:30 17	BY MR. SAVERI:
12:23:31 18	Q. Did you before you agreed to it, did you
12:23:33 19	talk with anybody at Adobe?
12:23:36 20	A. I I don't recall.
12:23:37 21	Q. Okay. So at that time, what was Mr. Narayen's
12:23:42 22	job? Was he the president?
12:23:43 23	A. I don't know the timing of the first call, so I
12:23:47 24	don't know whether he was president, whether he was head
12:23:49 25	of engineering at that time. I I don't recall.

Deposition of Bru			In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
12:29:50	1	Q.	Okay. So you don't recall whether he said it
12:29:55	2	was a goo	d idea or a bad idea or he was indifferent?
12:30:00	3	Α.	No.
12:30:02	4	Q.	And did you also speak on the telephone to
12:30:05	5	Theresa To	ownsley?
12:30:07	6	A.	I don't know.
12:30:08	7	Q.	Okay. One way or the other.
12:30:10	8	Α.	I just don't remember.
12:30:12	9	Q.	Did you did you speak on the telephone with
12:30:14	10	anybody e	lse
12:30:15	11	A.	I don't remember.
12:30:16	12	Q.	at Adobe?
12:30:17	13	A.	I don't remember.
12:30:18	14	Q.	Okay. Did you talk with any of the lawyers?
12:30:20	15	A.	That would be attorney-client privilege.
12:30:22	16	Q.	Well, I'm just asking whether you talked with
12:30:24	17	an attorn	ey at Adobe after you received the email.
12:30:28	18	A.	I always speak to the attorneys at Adobe.
12:30:32	19		MR. MITTELSTAEDT: I'm going to instruct him
12:30:33	20	not to an	swer, move to strike the answer on the grounds
12:30:36	21	of attorn	ey-client privilege.
12:30:38	22	BY MR. SA	VERI:
12:30:45	23	Q.	Let me make sure the record is clear about
12:30:47	24	this.	
12:30:48	25		After you received the email from Mr. Jobs, did
WD 43 G COURS	T DEI	ODENIG HIGH	LV CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYOUEVECONUV D 120

12:30:52 1	you consult with Adobe in-house attorneys?
12:30:55 2	A. I didn't
12:30:56 3	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Just a second. I instruct
12:30:57 4	you not to answer. Attorney-client privilege.
12:30:59 5	MR. SAVERI: That's fine.
12:31:00 6	THE WITNESS: Okay.
12:31:00 7	BY MR. SAVERI:
12:31:14 8	Q. After you received the email from Steve Jobs,
12:31:17 9	did you speak to anybody else at Adobe?
12:31:21 10	A. I do not recall who I spoke with.
12:31:23 11	Q. Okay. Did you ever speak with Dr. Warnock or
12:31:27 12	Dr. Geschke about this agreement after you had received
12:31:30 13	the email from Steve Jobs?
12:31:32 14	A. If I did, I don't remember.
12:31:33 15	Q. Okay. What happened next? After you spoke
12:31:41 16	with Mr. Narayen, did you communicate again with Mr. Jobs
12:31:46 17	about this?
12:31:46 18	A. There was a series of emails that I reviewed,
12:31:52 19	and that was the discussion I had with him, the email
12:31:55 20	discussion back and forth.
12:31:57 21	Q. Did you communicate orally with Steve Jobs
12:32:04 22	about the agreement after you received the email from
12:32:08 23	A. I don't remember.
12:32:11 24	Q. How many telephone calls or conversations do
12:32:14 25	you recall having with Steve Jobs about this subject in

$\mathbf{r}$		CD	C1 .
	eposition	of Bruce	( hizen

12:32:18 1	2005?
12:32:19 2	A. I don't know.
12:32:21 3	Q. I think you said you remember there was
12:32:23 4	there was one.
12:32:24 5	A. There was I said it was not 2005. I said
12:32:30 6	there was a we had a verbal agreement.
12:32:32 7	Q. Okay.
12:32:33 8	A. Sometime prior to the email exchange. I do not
12:32:35 9	recall when that was
12:32:37 10	Q. Okay.
12:32:37 11	A and the form in which it took place.
12:32:40 12	Q. Okay. And so when there was a point in 2005
12:32:43 13	when you received an email from Steve Jobs.
12:32:46 14	A. That's correct.
12:32:47 15	Q. And that referred to the
12:32:51 16	A. Agreement we had.
12:32:53 17	Q. Did did you at that time have any telephone
12:32:57 18	conversations with Steve Jobs?
12:32:59 19	A. I might have. But I don't remember.
12:33:01 20	Q. And as you sit here today, do you recall
12:33:03 21	whether it was one or more or anything about
12:33:07 22	A. I don't recall any, but there could have been a
12:33:10 23	phone call. I tried to forget the phone calls with Steve
12:33:16 24	Jobs.
12:33:23 25	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Let the record reflect

Deposition of Br		e 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 660 Filed 02/21/14 Page 42 of 82  In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
12:33:24	1	laughter.
12:33:26	2	MR. SAVERI: And some some modicum of mirth.
12:33:30	3	Okay.
12:33:40	4	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: I'm told the food is here,
12:33:41	5	whenever you want to break.
12:33:43	6	MR. SAVERI: Let me just do a couple of other
12:33:44	7	things, and then we'll take a break.
12:33:46	8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
12:33:46	9	BY MR. SAVERI:
12:33:54	10	Q. Did the agreement that you reached with Steve
12:33:56	11	Jobs provide that neither company would recruit or
12:34:00	12	solicit each other's employees?
12:34:04	13	A. Would actively, proactively recruit or solicit.
12:34:10	14	Q. And was the agreement mutual?
12:34:16	15	A. That was my understanding.
12:34:18	16	Q. And did the agreement that you reached apply to
12:34:21	17	all employees at Adobe and Apple?
12:34:27	18	A. The email communication clarified what we
12:34:31	19	agreed to, which was all employees at Apple and Adobe.
12:34:36	20	Q. Regardless of job title, function, role, or
12:34:39	21	salary?
12:34:40	22	A. That was my understanding of what I agreed to.
12:34:42	23	Q. And it was not limited to any particular
12:34:44	24	projects on which Adobe and Apple were collaborating.

That is correct.

A.

12:34:48 25

	Cas	e 5:11-cv-0250	9-LHK Document 660 Filed 02/21/14 Page 43 of 82
Deposition of Br	uce Ch	izen	In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
12:34:50	1	Q.	And the agreement wasn't limited by geography.
12:34:53	2	А.	That is correct.
12:34:54	3	Q.	And therefore applied to both companies'
12:34:57	4	worldwide	operations in total?
12:35:01	5	А.	That was my understanding in my agreement with
12:35:03	6	Steve.	
12:35:04	7	Q.	And to your knowledge, did that agreement that
12:35:05	8	you reache	ed with Steve Jobs have any time limitation?
12:35:14	9	А.	It was, we agreed, and that was the extent of
12:35:17	10	the conver	rsation.
12:35:17	11	Q.	And so that agreement strike that.
12:35:32	12		Who did you tell at Adobe about the agreement
12:35:35	13	you reache	ed?
12:35:38	14	Α.	At a minimum Shantanu Narayen and head of HR.
12:35:42	15	Q.	Okay. Anybody else that you recall?
12:35:43	16	А.	I don't recall.
12:35:54	17	Q.	Well, is there anybody else that you recall
12:35:57	18	communicat	ting it to, either by name or by job title or
12:36:00	19	description	on?
12:36:01	20	А.	No.
12:36:02	21	Q.	Okay. Did you tell, for example, the people

- Q. Okay. Did you tell, for example, the people who were collaborating with Apple?
  - A. I -- I don't remember.
- 12:36:14 24 Q. Well, did you broadly disseminate or inform
  12:36:33 25 people at Adobe of the agreement you reached with Steve

12:36:10 22

12:36:12 23

12:36:36 1	Jobs?
12:36:40 2	A. I didn't keep it a secret. I'm not sure what
12:36:46 3	the definition of the word "broad" is. I would tell
12:36:49 4	whoever asked me, do we have an agreement with Apple not
12:36:52 5	to proactively solicit employees, and vice versa, and I
12:36:56 6	would be very open and upfront about it.
12:36:59 7	Q. So if someone asked you about it, you you'd
12:37:01 8	give them the correct information.
12:37:03 9	A. That's correct.
12:37:03 10	Q. But if they didn't ask you, you didn't provide
12:37:06 11	that information.
12:37:07 12	A. I didn't send out a broad communication, if
12:37:10 13	that's what you're asking.
12:37:12 14	Q. That's right. But you did inform at least the
12:37:14 15	top of the HR organization
12:37:16 16	A. Yes.
12:37:16 17	Q at the company?
12:37:17 18	A. And it's yes, I did, and it's possible they
12:37:20 19	did a broad communication.
12:37:21 20	Q. Well, did you you informed the person who
12:37:26 21	was at the top of the HR operation in order that she,
12:37:30 22	because it was either she
12:37:31 23	A. Both shes.
12:37:32 24	Q both shes, so that because in order that
12:37:33 25	she would know about the agreement, correct?

13:08:42 1	on or about May 31st
13:08:45 2	A. I do not know.
13:08:45 3	Q 2005?
13:08:46 4	A. I just do not know.
13:08:52 5	Q. Well, do you recall a meeting that you attended
13:08:55 6	with Shantanu Narayen with Steve Jobs and others at
13:08:59 7	Apple's offices, and with Mr. Schiller, Mr. Okamoto on or
13:09:09 8	about this time?
13:09:11 9	A. I remember a meeting with Shantanu, Steve Jobs
13:09:15 10	where Steve Jobs did not want Shantanu in the meeting.
13:09:19 11	That's the only meeting I recall over at Apple's
13:09:22 12	facilities with Shantanu, but there could have been
13:09:26 13	others. I just don't know which meetings Shantanu
13:09:30 14	attended at their location.
13:09:32 15	Q. Did okay. Let me ask a couple questions
13:09:34 16	about that.
13:09:35 17	Do you recall a meeting well, first, why
13:09:38 18	didn't Jobs want Mr. Narayen to attend?
13:09:42 19	A. A lot of times he would want to show me some
13:09:44 20	proprietary things, and he didn't have a relationship
13:09:47 21	with Shantanu, and he wanted to keep it as exclusive and
13:09:51 22	restricted as possible.
13:09:52 23	Q. Did you meet with Ron Okamoto and Phil Schiller
13:09:59 24	and Steve Jobs at Apple's facilities on or about this
13:10:03 25	time? Do you have a

13:10:04 1	A. I don't recall.
13:10:05 2	Q. Do you recall a meeting an in-person meeting
13:10:13 3	on or about this time where the subject of recruiting was
13:10:19 4	discussed?
13:10:19 5	A. I don't recall.
13:10:29 6	Q. Now, in May of 2005, were there particular
13:10:38 7	collaborations or projects between Apple and Adobe that
13:10:42 8	were significant?
13:10:47 9	A. I don't have the slightest idea.
13:10:53 10	Q. How many how many strike that.
13:10:56 11	Was it a relatively rare event for you to go
13:10:59 12	visit Steve Jobs at at Apple?
13:11:03 13	A. No.
13:11:03 14	(Exhibit 1804 was marked for identification.)
13:11:04 15	BY MR. SAVERI:
13:11:23 16	Q. Let me show you Exhibit 1804, which is another
13:11:27 17	email. This one has the Bates number 231APPLE100128.
13:11:37 18	This is another Apple document, but it refers to a June 2
13:11:40 19	meeting with you and Mr. Narayen listed as Adobe
13:11:47 20	attendees, and the Apple attendees that are listed are
13:11:51 21	Steve, Phil, I guess that is Phil Schiller, and Ron
13:11:54 22	Okamoto. Do you see that?
13:11:56 23	A. Yes.
13:11:56 24	Q. Did that meeting happen?
13:11:59 25	A. I don't know.

D :::	CD	C1 :
Deposition	of Rruce	('hizen
DCDOSIUOII	or Druce	CHIZCH

13:12:00 1	Q. Okay. We'll put that aside.
13:12:36 2	I've handed you what has been previously marked
13:12:38 3	as Exhibit 224. Will you take a moment to review that,
13:12:45 4	please.
13:13:13 5	A. Okay.
13:13:14 6	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Wait. There is writing on
13:13:15 7	the back, too.
13:13:16 8	THE WITNESS: Oh. Thank you. Hang on a
13:13:46 9	second. So this is not oh, I see. Okay.
13:13:51 10	BY MR. SAVERI:
13:13:52 11	Q. Have you had a chance to review it?
13:13:53 12	A. Yes, I have.
13:13:55 13	Q. First, let me draw your attention to the top of
13:13:58 14	the very first page. Do you see that?
13:13:59 15	A. Yes.
13:14:12 16	Q. The top of the first page appears to be an
13:14:14 17	email from you to Shantanu Narayen and Theresa Townsley
13:14:20 18	dated May 27, 2005. Do you see that?
13:14:23 19	A. Yes.
13:14:24 20	Q. Let me ask you first. Did you write the did
13:14:29 21	you write this email to Mr. Narayen and Theresa Townsley
13:14:33 22	on or about the date that's indicated here, May 27, 2005?
13:14:38 23	A. It appears that I did.
13:14:39 24	Q. Okay. Now, let me just kind of take you
13:14:47 25	through this chronologically, so that means we start at

13:18:11 1	you were the CEO at Adobe?
13:18:13 2	A. I think so.
13:18:13 3	Q. Did you have any other email address that you
13:18:15 4	used for business?
13:18:16 5	A. I don't believe so.
13:18:17 6	Q. Do you recognize Steve Jobs' email address?
13:18:19 7	A. Yes.
13:18:19 8	Q. And was that the email address you used to
13:18:22 9	communicate with him via email?
13:18:24 10	A. Yes.
13:18:24 11	Q. Okay. Now, if you look at Exhibit 223, it
13:18:30 12	looks like the first time you appear in this chain of
13:18:34 13	communication is the email from Mr. Jobs to you, which is
13:18:38 14	at the bottom of the first page, dated May 26, 2005, at
13:18:42 15	9:36 a.m. Do you see that?
13:18:46 16	A. Yes.
13:18:50 17	Q. Now, let me read it to you. He writes,
13:18:52 18	"Bruce," that is you, right?
13:18:54 19	A. That is me.
13:18:54 20	Q. Okay. "Adobe is recruiting from Apple.
13:18:57 21	They've hired one person already and are calling lots
13:19:00 22	more. I have a standing policy with our recruiters that
13:19:04 23	we don't recruit from Adobe. Seems you have a different
13:19:08 24	policy. One of us must change our policy. Please let us
13:19:12 25	know who. Steve."

13:19:15 1	And then he writes, "Here is one of the many
13:19:17 2	pings we've gotten from Adobe." If you turn over to the
13:19:21 3	next page, it looks like he at that point is attaching
13:19:25 4	something from somebody named Jerry Sastri to somebody
13:19:29 5	named bereskin@apple. Are you with me?
13:19:34 6	A. Yeah, I see it.
13:19:35 7	Q. So let me ask you first, the email from
13:19:37 8	Mr. Jobs to you on May 26, 2005, was that the first email
13:19:40 9	communication you received from him regarding the
13:19:49 10	recruiting policy or recruiting policy agreement between
13:19:56 11	Adobe and Apple?
13:19:57 12	A. No. As you can see in the above sentence, I
13:20:00 13	talk about what I thought we agreed to previously.
13:20:04 14	Q. Okay. So it's your recollection that that
13:20:08 15	there was another email communication that preceded this.
13:20:12 16	A. Not necessarily email. A communication which
13:20:16 17	could have been verbal.
13:20:18 18	Q. Okay. So just so I'm clear, it's your
13:20:21 19	recollection that there was a communication between you
13:20:23 20	and Mr. Jobs about this subject.
13:20:26 21	A. About which subject?
13:20:27 22	Q. About the was there a communication prior
13:20:30 23	to May 26, 2005, Thursday, 9:36 a.m., did you communicate
13:20:36 24	with Mr. Jobs regarding an agreement between Apple and
13:20:43 25	Adobe not to recruit each others employees?
J	

13:20:47 1	A. I believe so, yes.
13:20:49 2	Q. And as you sit here today, do you recall
13:20:51 3	whether that communication, that prior communication, was
13:20:54 4	oral, by telephone, or in writing?
13:20:58 5	A. It was probably oral.
13:21:00 6	Q. Okay. And just so I can try to place it, do
13:21:02 7	you recall how by how much let me ask a better
13:21:07 8	question.
13:21:08 9	Do you recall when that communication was, now
13:21:11 10	that you've had a chance to look at this?
13:21:13 11	A. It was it was probably right prior to when
13:21:19 12	Ron Okamoto and then Susan Prescott left, because I
13:21:22 13	remember how angry I was that he had recruited them,
13:21:27 14	which I felt violated at the time, because I thought we
13:21:31 15	had an agreement in place. So I suspect it was right
13:21:34 16	prior to that time whenever that was.
13:21:40 17	Q. Okay. Now, do you know who Jerry Sastri is?
13:21:51 18	A. No.
13:21:55 19	Q. At this time, did you know that there were
13:21:59 20	folks at Apple excuse me that there were folks at
13:22:02 21	Adobe recruiting into Apple?
13:22:06 22	A. I wasn't aware of it. I wasn't aware of it,
13:22:10 23	nor did I care.
13:22:11 24	Q. Okay. Well, but my question was whether you
13:22:13 25	were aware of that.

$\mathbf{r}$		CD	C1 .
	eposition	of Bruce	( hizen

13:22:14 1	A. I was not aware of it one way or the other.
13:22:18 2	Q. And it looks like from the prior communication
13:22:21 3	that Theresa Townsley was the head of HR at the time.
13:22:26 4	A. Yes.
13:22:26 5	Q. Did prior to this communication with
13:22:28 6	Mr. Jobs, did Theresa Townsley ever advise you that there
13:22:31 7	were people in her organization that were recruiting into
13:22:34 8	Apple?
13:22:35 9	A. It's possible.
13:22:36 10	Q. But my question is, do you have any
13:22:38 11	recollection?
13:22:39 12	A. I have no recollection.
13:22:40 13	Q. Okay. So focusing on Exhibit 223 again, when
13:22:47 14	you received the email from from Mr. Jobs on May 26th,
13:22:50 15	at 9:36 in the morning, when you received that email,
13:23:03 16	what did you do?
13:23:03 17	A. The first thing I did was to think about how am
13:23:05 18	I going to placate this guy and get him off my back so he
13:23:13 19	doesn't call me again.
13:23:15 20	Q. Okay.
13:23:15 21	A. That was the first thing.
13:23:16 22	Q. Did you come up with a plan for accomplishing
13:23:19 23	that?
13:23:23 24	A. I probably had a discussion with Shantanu
13:23:27 25	and/or Theresa about it.

14:14:07 1	Narayen or Theresa Townsley tell you that they supported
14:14:11 2	the proposal that you had made to Steve Jobs which was a
14:14:14 3	more limited agreement that had to do only with the more
14:14:19 4	senior folks?
14:14:25 5	A. Based on what Theresa based on Theresa
14:14:34 6	Townsley's email to Shantanu and myself, it sounded like
14:14:38 7	Shantanu was in complete agreement with me of no active
14:14:41 8	soliciting of any employee.
14:14:43 9	Q. Okay.
14:14:45 10	A. With a heads-up on senior level approaching
14:14:48 11	either company.
14:14:49 12	Q. So Shantanu wrote to you, and then shortly
14:14:52 13	thereafter well, not shortly thereafter, later in the
14:15:06 14	day on the 27th, Theresa Townsley writes back to you, and
14:15:09 15	writes, "Just to clarify, are you both saying not to
14:15:13 16	solicit any employees at any level?" Right?
14:15:15 17	A. Right. Yes.
14:15:15 18	Q. I won't read the okay. Then she writes,
14:15:22 19	"Also, what is the agreement between you, Bruce, and
14:15:25 20	Steve if Apple employees come to us directly? This is
14:15:28 21	where I thought you two agreed that you would give each
14:15:30 22	other a call if they were at the senior level." Do you
14:15:34 23	see that?
14:15:35 24	A. Yes.
14:15:35 25	Q. At this time had you reached an agreement with

14:15:37 1	Apple, or Steve Jobs in particular, to do that, that is
14:15:41 2	that you would give each other a call if the the
14:15:45 3	recruited employees were at the senior level?
14:15:49 4	A. Yes. I don't think neither one of us thought
14:15:51 5	through or discussed the details of what that would look
14:15:54 6	like.
14:15:54 7	Q. Okay. But your answer was yes?
14:15:57 8	A. Yes. Yes.
14:15:58 9	Q. Okay. Now, then, at 8:52 p.m. you wrote back
14:16:04 10	Theresa Townsley and Shantanu Narayen, "No active
14:16:07 11	soliciting of any employees, heads up on senior level
14:16:10 12	approaching either company." Do you see that?
14:16:12 13	A. Yes.
14:16:13 14	Q. Okay. And so that was your understanding of
14:16:19 15	what well, does that email accurately record what
14:16:25 16	you
14:16:27 17	A. And Steve agreed to.
14:16:29 18	Q. Yes. Now, Shantanu then writes back and says,
14:16:38 19	"I agree," at 8:56. Do you see that?
14:16:43 20	A. Yes.
14:16:43 21	Q. Now, let's go to Exhibit 223. Are you with me?
14:16:51 22	A. Yes.
14:16:51 23	Q. Up at the top of on the first page, there is
14:16:55 24	an email that you wrote to Steve Jobs. Do you see that?
14:16:58 25	A. Yes.

14:16:59 1	Q. And if the date stamps are correct, you wrote
14:17:02 2	that on Friday, May 27th, 2005, at approximately 8:53 in
14:17:07 3	the evening.
14:17:08 4	A. Correct.
14:17:09 5	Q. Okay. And you write, "I'd rather agree not to
14:17:12 6	actively solicit any employee from either company. If
14:17:15 7	employee proactively approaches then it's acceptable. If
14:17:19 8	you are in agreement, I will let my folks know."
14:17:22 9	Did you write that?
14:17:22 10	A. Yes.
14:17:23 11	Q. Is that an accurately well, does that
14:17:26 12	indicate your assent to that agreement?
14:17:28 13	A. Yes.
14:17:28 14	Q. Okay. Now, if you flip to Exhibit 224
14:17:37 15	A. I'm with you.
14:17:37 16	Q do you recall if you had received Shantanu's
14:17:41 17	email to you before you had gotten back to Steve? And I
14:17:45 18	ask that because the time stamp indicates it is slightly
14:17:48 19	later.
14:17:49 20	A. I don't know.
14:17:51 21	Q. Okay. Now, going to Exhibit 223, which was
14:18:04 22	your which concludes with your email to Steve Jobs, do
14:18:08 23	you see that?
14:18:08 24	A. Yes.
14:18:09 25	Q. Did he respond in writing to that?

Cas Deposition of Bruce Ch	te 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 660 Filed 02/21/14 Page 55 of 82  In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
14:18:13 1	A. If he did, it should have been on the server.
14:18:17 2	Q. Okay.
14:18:19 3	A. But I don't know.
14:18:20 4	Q. Well
14:18:24 5	A. I don't know.
14:18:25 6	Q. Okay. Now, going back to Exhibit 223, there
14:18:38 7	are some additional communications between you,
14:18:40 8	yourself I mean you, Shantanu Narayen and Theresa
14:18:44 9	Townsley. Do you see that?
14:18:45 10	A. Yes.
14:18:46 11	Q. Okay. First Theresa Townsley writes, "And if
14:18:56 12	an Adobe employee refers"
14:18:57 13	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Excuse me. 224?
14:18:58 14	BY MR. SAVERI:
14:18:59 15	Q. Excuse me. Exhibit 224, thank you.
14:19:01 16	Theresa writes, "And if an Adobe employee
14:19:04 17	refers an Apple employee through a referral program, are
14:19:07 18	you doing are you okay with that?" Do you see that?
14:19:10 19	A. Yes.
14:19:11 20	Q. And then and then Shantanu says, "I think
14:19:13 21	the spirit has to be that we don't initiate contact with
14:19:17 22	Apple employees even through our employees. If an Adobe
14:19:21 23	employee is approached by an Apple employee, I think it's

14:19:24 24

okay to pass it on." Do you see that?

14:28:35 1	Q. Now, Exhibit 1805 is a document that Adobe
14:28:38 2	produced, it has the Bates number Adobe_00853 to 854.
14:28:43 3	I'd like to take I'd like you to take a moment to read
14:28:48 4	it. I'm really go to just ask you to focus in particular
14:28:51 5	on the part of the email that begins in the towards
14:28:53 6	the bottom of the first page, which is the the email
14:28:57 7	from owner E-Team to E-Team, and the attached email from
14:29:03 8	Theresa Townsley to Donna Morris, Mr. Narayen, yourself,
14:29:08 9	and Gloria Stinson. But please take a moment to look at
14:29:13 10	the email.
14:29:31 11	A. Okay.
14:29:32 12	Q. Okay. So first, the email from Theresa
14:29:35 13	Townsley to Donna Morris, Friday, May 27th, 2005, which
14:29:40 14	is at the bottom of the email, is the email that you
14:29:43 15	edited before sending it to Steve Jobs, correct?
14:29:45 16	A. It looks that way, yes.
14:29:47 17	Q. Is the email that is at the bottom of this
14:29:49 18	document the full and complete email that you received
14:29:51 19	from Theresa Townsley, to the
14:29:54 20	A. To the best of my knowledge
14:29:55 21	Q best of your recollection?
14:29:55 22	A yes.
14:29:56 23	Q. And now, Theresa Townsley writes, "Bruce and
14:30:22 24	Steve Jobs are in agreement that we are not to solicit
14:30:24 25	ANY," all caps, "Apple employees and vice versa." Do you

14:30:28 1	see that?	
14:30:29 2	A. Yes.	
14:30:30 3	Q. Does that correctly summarize the agreement you	
14:30:32 4	described to them?	
14:30:32 5	A. Yes.	
14:30:33 6	Q. And then it also says, "It is okay if they come	
14:30:35 7	to us through our referral program." That does that	
14:30:37 8	refer to the referral program you discussed a few minutes	
14:30:40 9	ago?	
14:30:40 10	A. That is correct.	
14:30:41 11	Q. And then she goes on to say, "However, if it	
14:30:44 12	looks like we have an Apple employee as a candidate for a	
14:30:47 13	senior role at Adobe, director and VP, we need to let	
14:30:52 14	Bruce know so he can talk to Steve." Does that last	
14:30:55 15	sentence accurately describe	
14:30:56 16	A. Yes.	
14:30:56 17	Q the agreement that you had with Steve?	
14:30:58 18	A. Yes.	
14:30:59 19	Q. Now, the the that email is attached by	
14:31:04 20	it look like Shantanu Narayen to the E-Team. Do you see	
14:31:09 21	that?	
14:31:10 22	A. Yes.	
14:31:11 23	Q. And did you receive that email from him	
14:31:16 24	A. I was on the the E-Team email alias. I	
14:31:20 25	would have received it, yes.	

Q. Was that email the first time that the entire
E-Team was informed of the agreement you had reached with
Jobs?
A. I don't know, given that my E-Team meetings
tended to be on Monday mornings, and this all transpired
at the end of the previous week, I could speculate that
this was the first communication. But I don't know.
Q. Okay. Did you subsequently follow up with your
own email and correct anything about the way Shantanu
Narayen described the agreement?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Now, subsequent to that email, if you look at
this document, there is a series of emails between Donna
Morris, Theresa Townsley, Gloria Stinson, and Jeff
Vijungco. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you talk to any of these folks over the
weekend about their reactions to the agreement or any
steps they were taking to enforce it?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. As of this time, were you aware that Adobe was
in discussions with someone named Frank Casanova from
Apple?
A. As of which time?
Q. As of this time, May of 2005.

14:33:37 1	A. I I have vague memories of the name. I have	
14:33:41 2	no idea where the name comes from, who he worked for, who	
14:33:45 3	was recruiting him. I don't remember.	
14:33:47 4	Q. Well, prior to May of 2005, did you have any	
14:33:49 5	discussions with Steve Jobs about Adobe's efforts to hire	
14:33:54 6	Frank Casanova?	
14:33:56 7	A. Not that I remember or recall.	
14:33:58 8	Q. For example, did Steve Jobs call you or send	
14:34:01 9	you an email telling you, "stop it," or	
14:34:04 10	A. Not	
14:34:05 11	Q or complaining about Frank what you were	
14:34:06 12	doing with Frank Casanova?	
14:34:08 13	A. Not that I recall.	
14:34:21 14	Q. Did Adobe cease its efforts to recruit Frank	
14:34:27 15	Casanova after you reached the agreement with Steve Jobs?	
14:34:32 16	A. I don't remember who Frank Casanova is. I	
14:34:35 17	don't recall who he is. I don't recall his situation.	
14:34:39 18	So by no means can I answer that question.	
14:34:41 19	Q. Okay. Subsequent to your agreement with Steve	
14:34:57 20	Jobs, did you receive confirmation that you recall from	
14:35:04 21	Theresa Townsley, Donna Morris, or anybody in that	
14:35:07 22	organization that they had ceased their efforts with	
14:35:10 23	respect to recruiting of Apple employees?	
14:35:14 24	A. Are you talking about other than what's stated	
14:35:16 25	in documents	

Deposition	of Bruce	Chizer

14:35:18 1	Q. Correct.	
14:35:18 2	A that you've already presented?	
14:35:20 3	Q. Yeah.	
14:35:20 4	A. Not that I recall.	
14:35:21 5	Q. So for example, did it come up at an E-Team	
14:35:24 6	meeting?	
14:35:24 7	A. If it did, I don't recall it.	
14:35:35 8	Q. Were there notes taken at E-Team meetings? Let	
14:35:37 9	me ask a better question.	
14:35:39 10	Were there minutes of E-Team meetings prepared?	
14:35:41 11	A. There was a period of time where my assistant	
14:35:44 12	would take notes of E-Team meetings.	
14:35:47 13	Q. Okay. Do you know if this that period of	
14:35:50 14	time included the period of time that	
14:35:54 15	A. I do not remember.	
14:35:55 16	Q. Okay. I've handed you what has been previously	
14:36:26 17	marked as Exhibit 230. Will you take a moment to review	
14:36:34 18	that, please.	
14:36:53 19	A. I've read it.	
14:36:54 20	Q. Now, have you ever seen well, this document	
14:37:00 21	appears to be an email from Jeff Vijungco to an email	
14:37:09 22	distribution list or alias called "hire@adobe.com." Do	
14:37:13 23	you see that?	
14:37:14 24	A. Yes.	
14:37:15 25	Q. Were you part of that alias or that list?	

14:37:17 1	A. No.
14:37:17 2	Q. Okay. Now, this is dated Tuesday, May 21st,
14:37:23 3	2005. Do you see that?
14:37:24 4	A. Yes.
14:37:24 5	Q. And he writes
14:37:27 6	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: May 31st.
14:37:29 7	MR. SAVERI: May 31st. Excuse me.
14:37:30 8	BY MR. SAVERI:
14:37:31 9	Q. "Hi, all, in recent discussions between our
14:37:34 10	E-Team and Apple's E-Team, the topic of recruiting one
14:37:38 11	another came up. Given our relationship with Apple, and
14:37:39 12	assuming our partnership may grow stronger, we have
14:37:43 13	reiterated the importance of not poaching from Apple
14:37:46 14	directly. Accordingly, this is increasingly more
14:37:49 15	sensitive for candidates at the director to VP level."
14:37:53 16	Do you see that?
14:37:54 17	A. Yes.
14:38:00 18	Q. Now, did Adobe's E-Team and Apple's E-Team
14:38:11 19	discuss this subject at this time, to the best of your
14:38:13 20	recollection?
14:38:13 21	A. I don't believe, other than Mr. Jobs and
14:38:16 22	myself, there was not a discussion between Adobe and
14:38:19 23	Apple regarding this matter.
14:38:22 24	Q. Okay.
14:38:22 25	A. During this time period.

D :	CD	C1 .
Deposition	of Bruce	( hizen
DCDOSINON	OI DIUCC	CHIZCH

14:38:23 1	Q. So you believe Mr. Vijungco is being inaccurate		
14:38:29 2	when he talks about recent discussions between Adobe's		
14:38:35 3	E-Team and Apple's E-Team?		
14:38:38 4	A. Inaccurate?		
14:38:39 5	Q. Inaccurate, yes.		
14:38:40 6	A. Inaccurate.		
14:38:43 7	Q. Did you tell Mr. Vijungco to send this email		
14:38:46 8	describing the the agreement?		
14:38:49 9	A. I do not believe so.		
14:38:51 10	Q. Okay. Do you know if do you know if someone		
14:38:57 11	else on the E-Team, for example, anybody that was		
14:39:02 12	responsible for the HR function, instructed Mr. Vijungco		
14:39:05 13	to send this?		
14:39:06 14	A. I do not know.		
14:39:08 15	Q. Do you know why he inaccurately described the		
14:39:11 16	genesis of the agreement between you and Mr. Jobs?		
14:39:16 17	A. I don't have the slightest idea.		
14:39:19 18	Q. Okay. Did you tell him or anybody else at		
14:39:22 19	Adobe to conceal that?		
14:39:26 20	A. No.		
14:39:27 21	Q. Okay. Did well, did you want the agreement		
14:39:39 22	known outside of the HR department that was responsible		
14:39:45 23	for enforcing the agreement?		
14:39:47 24	A. I didn't care.		
14:39:49 25	Q. You didn't care one way or the other.		

14:39:51 1	A. I didn't care one way or the other. All I	
14:39:53 2	wanted was to placate Steve.	
14:39:57 3	Q. Well, you did care about following your	
14:40:05 4	agreement with Mr. Jobs, didn't you?	
14:40:07 5	A. I cared about him believing I was following the	
14:40:11 6	agreement, not necessarily following the agreement.	
14:40:14 7	Q. Okay. But if he learned that you were not	
14:40:17 8	following the agreement, you expected to hear about it,	
14:40:20 9	didn't you?	
14:40:21 10	A. As long as I didn't know about it, and it came	
14:40:28 11	to my attention afterwards, I was comfortable with him	
14:40:31 12	finding out, because I could take the same tactic that he	
14:40:35 13	would take with me, which is, I'll look into it and have	
14:40:39 14	it stopped immediately.	
14:40:40 15	Q. So if if you didn't you were comfortable	
14:40:45 16	with maintaining a position of plausible deniability with	
14:40:52 17	respect to this if Mr. Jobs subsequently found out about	
14:40:55 18	it and you didn't know anything about what was going on?	
14:40:59 19	A. To Mr. Jobs, yes.	
14:41:14 20	Q. But you did expect and knew that if Mr. Jobs	
14:41:18 21	did find out about it find out that Adobe was not	
14:41:19 22	living up to its agreement, that you would hear about it	
14:41:22 23	from him?	
14:41:22 24	A. If he found out about it, yes.	
14:41:25 25	Q. Was it your belief that he was particularly	

14:41:29 1	sensitive to this issue?	
14:41:33 2	A. It was always difficult to figure out what he	
14:41:35 3	was particularly sensitive to on any given day or time.	
14:41:38 4	Q. But but based on your communications with	
14:41:40 5	him, the recruiting of talent from by other technology	
14:41:45 6	companies away from Apple was something that he cared a	
14:41:48 7	lot about. You knew that, didn't you?	
14:41:50 8	A. Again, he was sensitive about many, many	
14:41:53 9	topics, and it would vary on any given date and time. So	
14:41:57 10	I can't tell you what he was overly sensitive to or not.	
14:42:02 11	During that time period, between Wednesday, Thursday,	
14:42:06 12	when he got the email, he reacted and responded and	
14:42:09 13	and contacted me, and you've seen the subsequent	
14:42:14 14	communications between the two of us.	
14:42:17 15	Whether that was a hot button for him or not, I	
14:42:19 16	don't know.	
14:42:20 17	Q. Well, was there ever a time that you can	
14:42:23 18	remember where Steve Jobs was insensitive to other	
14:42:26 19	companies' recruiting Apple folks away from Apple?	
14:42:31 20	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Object to form.	
14:42:33 21	THE WITNESS: I don't know how to answer that	
14:42:34 22	question. So can you try repeating it? I don't	
14:42:39 23	understand it.	
14:42:41 24	BY MR. SAVERI:	
14:42:48 25	Q. Well, did you know that Steve Jobs believed	

$\mathbf{r}$		CD	O1 .
	eposition	of Rruce	( hizen

15:51:50 1	you to Jobs. Do you see that?
15:51:51 2	A. Yes.
15:51:52 3	Q. Did you write that to Mr. Jobs on or about the
15:51:53 4	date that's indicated here?
15:51:55 5	A. I assume so.
15:51:56 6	Q. Now, when you wrote to Mr. Jobs, you forwarded
15:52:04 7	an email that you had received from someone named Nabil
15:52:08 8	Hireche.
15:52:08 9	A. Hireche, yes.
15:52:11 10	Q. What was that is Nabil a man or a woman?
15:52:15 11	A. A man.
15:52:17 12	Q. What was Nabil Hireche's job at this time?
15:52:24 13	A. I don't know.
15:52:25 14	Q. Okay. Now, you forwarded to Jobs the email
15:52:30 15	that you received from Mr. Hireche. Do you see that?
15:52:33 16	A. Yes.
15:52:33 17	Q. And he writes about someone named D'Apra, or
15:52:38 18	"D'Apra." Do you see that?
15:52:40 19	A. Yes.
15:52:41 20	Q. And at this time in 2006 had the has the
15:52:46 21	acquisition of Macromedia closed?
15:52:50 22	A. I believe so.
15:52:51 23	Q. Okay. So at this time, if at this time the
15:52:57 24	Macromedia folks had been absorbed into Adobe, right? So
15:53:02 25	this person, Alessandro D'Apra was an employee of Adobe

15:53:08 1	at this time, right?
15:53:09 2	A. Assuming my dates are correct, yes.
15:53:11 3	Q. So did you understand that your agreement with
15:53:12 4	Jobs with respect to recruiting, if followed, applied to
15:53:19 5	people like Mr. D'Apra who worked in Italy?
15:53:23 6	A. I believe that the verbal agreement I had with
15:53:26 7	Steve applied to any Adobe employee, regardless of where
15:53:29 8	they came from.
15:53:30 9	Q. And so when you wrote to him and said, "Please
15:53:33 10	remind your folks of our arrangement," were you asking
15:53:38 11	him to follow the agreement he had reached with you?
15:53:42 12	A. Yes.
15:53:46 13	Q. Did you ever speak to him I'm sorry.
15:53:49 14	Did you ever speak on the telephone with Jobs
15:53:52 15	about this?
15:53:52 16	A. Not that I recall.
15:53:53 17	Q. Did he write back, do you recall?
15:53:54 18	A. Unless you have the email.
15:53:55 19	Q. I do not.
15:53:56 20	A. Then I do not believe he wrote back.
15:53:58 21	Q. Okay. I'm changing subjects. So I'm happy to
15:54:05 22	keep going forward or take a break.
15:54:07 23	A. Keep going.
15:54:08 24	Q. Okay. I don't frankly, I don't recall
15:54:26 25	whether I gave you another copy of this previously. But

15:54:29 1	this is Exhibit 1147, which is the white paper.
15:54:34 2	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: You did. We've been over
15:54:36 3	this.
15:54:43 4	MR. SAVERI: Well, okay. I've shown it to him.
15:54:44 5	I've got some more questions about it.
15:54:46 6	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: It is 1147.
15:54:47 7	MR. SAVERI: It still is. So either one you
15:54:48 8	want to use. I just had an extra copy of it.
15:54:51 9	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: Okay.
15:54:52 10	BY MR. SAVERI:
15:54:53 11	Q. I have some particular questions about some of
15:54:55 12	the the factual statements in here. Let me draw your
15:55:14 13	attention to the first bottom of the first page.
15:55:16 14	There is a footnote here that says, "We note," and
15:55:18 15	this again, this was submitted by Adobe, okay?
15:55:21 16	"We note, however, that the extent to which
15:55:23 17	this arrangement was filed has ebbed and flowed with the
15:55:29 18	company's relationship over time."
15:55:31 19	And, again, let me just make sure you have the
15:55:36 20	full context in mind. If you look at the second
15:55:39 21	paragraph that begins, "As we describe below," do you see
15:55:42 22	that?
15:55:43 23	A. Yes.
15:55:43 24	Q. It says, "As we describe below, the Adobe/Apple
15:55:46 25	non-solicitation agreement flowed from and furthered a

# Case 5:11-cv-02509-LHK Document 660 Filed 02/21/14 Page 68 of 82 In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Deposition of Br	uce Cł	nizen	In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION	
16:05:42	1	A.	I do not know.	
16:05:43	2	Q.	Okay. There is a reference in this paragraph	
16:05:48	3	to someth	ing called Adobe's Illustrator product.	
16:05:51	4		Do you see that?	
16:05:52	5	Α.	Yes.	
16:05:52	6	Q.	Do you know when that was introduced?	
16:05:55	7	Α.	I'd be guessing.	
16:05:56	8	Q.	Was it before you got to the company?	
16:05:57	9	Α.	Yes.	
16:05:58	10	Q.	Was it long before you came to the company?	
16:05:59	11	Α.	There was a product called Adobe Illustrator	
16:06:03	12	88, based	on 1988, so I'm assuming, yes.	
16:06:07	13	Q.	Now, there is also a reference to Photoshop.	
16:06:10	14	Α.	Yes.	
16:06:10	15	Q.	That is another Adobe product?	
16:06:12	16	Α.	It was acquired before I got there.	
16:06:14	17	Q.	Do you recall when?	
16:06:15	18	Α.	No, but all of this information is available on	
16:06:27	19	Google.		
16:06:28	20	Q.	On the next page there is a reference to Mac OS	
16:06:30	21	X. Do you	ı see that?	
16:06:32	22	Α.	Yes.	
16:06:32	23	Q.	When was Mac OS X introduced?	
16:06:35	24	Α.	I don't know, but it was during my time frame.	
16:06:44	25	Q.	Now, on the next page, on page 7, there is a	

16:06:46 1	paragraph that begins, "All told." Do you see that?
16:06:50 2	A. On this page.
16:06:51 3	Q. Page 7.
16:06:52 4	A. Yes.
16:06:53 5	Q. It says, "Adobe and Apple" it says, "All
16:06:58 6	told, Adobe and Apple have executed over 200 cooperative
16:07:02 7	agreements." Do you see that?
16:07:04 8	A. Yes.
16:07:04 9	Q. Do you know if the subject of recruiting each
16:07:07 10	other's employees came up in the negotiation of any of
16:07:10 11	those agreements?
16:07:12 12	A. I do not know, and I didn't realize that Adobe
16:07:16 13	even had that many written agreements with Apple.
16:07:19 14	Q. Well, do you know whether or not this statement
16:07:22 15	in fact is true or not?
16:07:24 16	A. I would have no way of knowing.
16:07:28 17	Q. Did you negotiate yourself any of those
16:07:30 18	agreements?
16:07:30 19	A. I don't believe I negotiated any written
16:07:33 20	agreement between myself and Apple.
16:07:36 21	Q. And do you know if any of those written
16:07:38 22	agreements say anything about recruiting?
16:07:41 23	A. I do not know.
16:07:47 24	Q. Now
16:07:48 25	A. Other than what we talked about previously,

16:07:50 1	which was I think I looked at a document that showed a
16:07:53 2	paragraph in an earlier Adobe agreement.
16:07:56 3	Q. Now, a little bit farther down in this on
16:07:58 4	this page let me let me just focus you. There is a
16:08:04 5	paragraph that begins, "At times." Do you see that?
16:08:07 6	A. Yes.
16:08:07 7	Q. Then it says, "The relationship temporarily
16:08:09 8	cooled when Steve Jobs left Apple and the company briefly
16:08:14 9	joined forces with Microsoft during the infamous font
16:08:18 10	wars." Do you see that?
16:08:19 11	A. Yes.
16:08:20 12	Q. What were the font wars?
16:08:22 13	A. Hard for me to describe, since I wasn't there
16:08:23 14	at the time.
16:08:24 15	Q. Do you know when the font wars began?
16:08:26 16	A. Before I got there.
16:08:27 17	Q. Did they end before you got there?
16:08:29 18	A. Yes.
16:08:37 19	MR. SAVERI: Let's take a break.
16:08:39 20	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Video
16:08:39 21	No. 3. We are now off the record at 4:08.
16:19:04 22	(Recess was taken.)
16:19:27 23	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now on the record at
16:19:28 24	4:19. This is the beginning of Video No. 4.
16:19:32 25	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: I'm going to designate the

Deposition of Bru			9-LHK Document 660 Filed 02/21/14 Page 71 of 82 In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
Deposition of Bit	100 01		mile. Then then be the first the fir
16:28:36	1	Q.	Are you familiar with term "vaporware"?
16:28:39	2	A.	I am familiar with the term "vaporware."
16:28:39	3	Q.	What do you understand the term "vaporware" to
16:28:40	4	mean?	
16:28:41	5	A.	The way I interpret the term "vaporware" is
16:28:43	6	something	that gets announced but is not ready for market
16:28:46	7	availabil:	ity, and never becomes available.
16:28:50	8	Q.	Do you recall a Microsoft in connection with
16:28:52	9	the gover	nment case against Microsoft being accused of
16:28:56	10	adopting a	a a business practice of vaporware in order
16:29:00	11	to protect	t its monopoly?
16:29:02	12	Α.	If you could refresh my memory.
16:29:04	13	Q.	Well, are you aware that Microsoft was accused
16:29:08	14	by the go	vernment of announcing products before they were
16:29:11	15	ready in o	order to foreclose competition and disadvantage
16:29:16	16	competito	rs?
16:29:16	17	Α.	Yes, I do recall that.
16:29:18	18	Q.	Now, did while you were at Adobe
16:29:27	19	Α.	Yes.
16:29:28	20	Q.	did Adobe ever announce a product before the
16:29:31	21	product ex	xisted?
16:29:36	22	А.	Probably.
16:29:38	23	Q.	Were any of those products that Adobe and Apple

collaborated on?

16:29:42 24

16:29:52	1	our applications to help our customers understand that we
16:29:55	2	were actually working on them. We also showed a version
16:29:58	3	of InDesign before it was available in the marketplace at
16:30:02	4	the Mac World Exposition.
16:30:16	5	Q. Now, this goes on to say, "The ATM announcement
16:30:20	6	could be interpreted as an offensive move to try to
16:30:23	7	dissuade Apple from going a separate route with different
16:30:27	8	display technologies."
16:30:28	9	Do you see that?
16:30:29	10	A. I see that.
16:30:29	11	Q. Did Apple announce ATM before the product
16:30:32	12	existed in order to try and dissuade Apple from going a
16:30:35	13	separate route with different display technology?
16:30:38	14	A. I wasn't with the company. I wasn't aware of
16:30:40	15	what Adobe or Apple's intent was at the time.
16:30:51	16	Q. From your perspective, as the CEO of Adobe
16:30:56	17	A. Yes.
16:30:57	18	Q when did the font wars end?
16:30:59	19	A. Before I became the CEO of Adobe.
16:31:06	20	Q. When did Apple and Adobe start to squabble
16:31:11	21	about the implementation of Flash on Apple devices?
16:31:23	22	A. I believe it was after my departure from Adobe
16:31:30	23	Systems.
16:31:32	24	Q. So just so I am clear, to the best of your
16:31:34	25	recollection, the squabbling or friction between Apple

16:31:38 1	and Adobe about the implementation of Flash on Apple
16:31:42 2	devices, including their their computers or their
16:31:48 3	hand-helds, hand-held devices, occurred after you left
16:31:54 4	Adobe?
16:31:54 5	A. It depends on what your definition is of
16:31:56 6	"squabble." We worked with Apple to encourage them to
16:32:00 7	implement Flash on their iPhone. I'm not sure that was
16:32:06 8	squabble or
16:32:06 9	Q. That's fair.
16:32:08 10	A. So and at the time Apple believed that Flash
16:32:11 11	was not of the size and performance that they really
16:32:14 12	needed for the iPhone. Adobe didn't like that decision.
16:32:18 13	I certainly didn't like that decision. But it was their
16:32:21 14	choice.
16:32:21 15	Q. But you were the CEO of the company when that
16:32:23 16	happened.
16:32:24 17	A. That is correct.
16:32:25 18	Q. Now, did you discuss that with Jobs
16:32:28 19	A. I probably did.
16:32:29 20	Q yourself?
16:32:30 21	A. Yes.
16:32:30 22	Q. And what when did you discuss that with
16:32:32 23	Jobs?
16:32:34 24	A. I don't know.
16:32:35 25	Q. Okay. Did you

D '	CD	$\alpha_1$ .
Deposition	1 of Rruce	( hizen
DCDOSIGOI	I OI DIUCC	CHIZCH

16:32:41 1	A. It was after the Macromedia acquisition and
16:32:44 2	before November 2007 or and before November 2007.
16:32:49 3	Q. Did you communicate with Mr. Jobs about that
16:32:52 4	subject?
16:32:53 5	A. About Flash?
16:32:54 6	Q. Yes.
16:32:54 7	A. Yes.
16:32:55 8	Q. On many occasions?
16:32:57 9	A. I'm not sure if it was many occasions.
16:32:59 10	Q. On more than one?
16:33:02 11	A. At least one, I feel comfortable saying.
16:33:05 12	Q. Is there a particular one that you have in
16:33:06 13	mind?
16:33:10 14	A. I called him up and asked him why he wasn't
16:33:13 15	interested in implementing Flash.
16:33:15 16	Q. And when was that?
16:33:17 17	A. Sometime between the post acquisition of
16:33:19 18	Macromedia and before November 2007.
16:33:23 19	Q. And what did he tell you?
16:33:25 20	A. He didn't really like the performance he wasn't
16:33:29 21	able to get. And I offered to help. And he didn't take
16:33:33 22	up take us up on the help.
16:33:37 23	Q. Did you communicate with him via email or in
16:33:40 24	writing about that subject subsequent to that telephone
16:33:44 25	conversation?

16:33:45 1	A. I don't know if I communicated with him. There
16:33:48 2	were certainly communications within Adobe, the email
16:33:52 3	about what Steve had said or what Apple's opinion is.
16:33:55 4	Q. During this period of time, was there a
16:33:57 5	particular person at Adobe who was responsible for
16:34:00 6	developing the Flash product?
16:34:04 7	A. There were a group of people. I don't know who
16:34:08 8	in retrospect. It could have been a combination of Digby
16:34:12 9	Horner, Kevin Lynch, and others, but I'm not sure.
16:34:15 10	Q. Okay.
16:34:16 11	A. Al Ramadan, who headed up the mobile stuff.
16:34:19 12	Q. I'm sorry. Your voice kind of trails off.
16:34:21 13	A. Oh, I'm sorry.
16:34:21 14	Q. Could you identify the people that you would
16:34:23 15	A. I don't know who was specifically responsible
16:34:25 16	for it. It would have been either, or the likes of, a
16:34:31 17	Digby Horner, Kevin Lynch, and/or Al Ramadan and the
16:34:36 18	people within their organizations.
16:34:38 19	Q. Okay. Did they have communicate with
16:34:44 20	counterparts at Apple?
16:34:48 21	A. There were people at Adobe that communicated
16:34:50 22	with counterparts at Apple, yes.
16:34:52 23	Q. And so I'm just trying to get a sense of
16:34:55 24	whether the communication between the two entities, Apple
16:34:58 25	and Adobe, about that subject, was a communication

D '	CD	$\alpha_1$ .
Deposition	1 of Rruce	( hizen
DCDOSIGOI	I OI DIUCC	CHIZCH

16:55:26 1	A. I don't know.
16:55:27 2	Q. So in terms of the development and the these
16:55:29 3	code names, do you recall Jaguar preceded Tiger?
16:55:33 4	A. There's lots of big cats. I don't know.
16:55:36 5	Q. Okay. So do you know, as you sit here today,
16:55:40 6	whether Tiger was version 10.4 of OS X?
16:55:43 7	A. I have no idea.
16:55:43 8	Q. Or whether Jaguar was version 10.2?
16:55:47 9	A. I have no idea. But I'm sure you could find
16:55:50 10	all this information available elsewhere.
16:55:52 11	Q. Now, there is an email from someone named
16:55:54 12	Bertrand I don't even know how to pronounce his name,
16:55:57 13	Serlet?
16:55:59 14	A. Yes.
16:55:59 15	Q. Do you know that person?
16:56:00 16	A. I've met him a number of times, yes.
16:56:02 17	Q. Okay. Did he work on the collaboration between
16:56:05 18	Adobe and Apple?
16:56:06 19	A. I don't know if he worked directly on the
16:56:08 20	collaboration, but he was responsible, I believe, for a
16:56:10 21	lot of the software engineering at Apple.
16:56:13 22	Q. Now, you see his email, dated February 1, 2005,
16:56:17 23	that begins, "Yes, Christine's team is working in concert
16:56:20 24	with Ryan"?

Deposition of Br	uce Cl	zen In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
16:56:24	1	Q. And then it refers to a Jaguar crisis.
16:56:29	2	Do you see that?
16:56:29	3	A. I see that.
16:56:30	4	Q. Do you know what he's referring to?
16:56:32	5	A. I have no idea.
16:56:33	6	Q. Were there particular problems between Apple
16:56:35	7	and Adobe with respect to the development of Jaguar or
16:56:39	8	or versions of OS X at this time?
16:56:42	9	A. There were always challenges in developing
16:56:45	10	software for any operating system in my 20, 30-plus-year
16:56:50	11	experience in the software business.
16:56:52	12	Q. Well, was there a particular big one with
16:56:54	13	respect to Jaguar?
16:56:55	14	A. I don't remember when Jaguar was, so I'm not
16:56:58	15	sure which version it was, whether it was the first
16:57:01	16	version or the second version.
16:57:03	17	Q. Well, you you write this email on
16:57:07	18	February 17th, 2005, to Jobs following up on a Tiger
16:57:14	19	compatibility report. Do you see that?
16:57:17	20	A. I see that.
16:57:18	21	Q. Was that usual? I mean did you regularly
16:57:20	22	follow up directly with Steve Jobs about compatibility
16:57:24	23	reports with respect to OS X versions?
16:57:26	24	A. Anytime I had good news on something that
16:57:28	25	concerned Steve I liked to pass it on to him so he would

16:57:31 1	believe that we were collaborating and cooperating to
16:57:34 2	maintain the support that we needed.
16:57:40 3	Q. Now, at the top of the email, Schiller writes
16:57:44 4	to Bertrand. Do you see that?
16:57:47 5	A. I see that.
16:57:47 6	Q. And he says, "I'll add it was a fight with
16:57:49 7	Adobe to get this relationship to this state. It was
16:57:52 8	much harder than with Panther."
16:57:55 9	Do you see that?
16:57:55 10	A. I see that.
16:57:56 11	Q. Do you know what he's talking about?
16:57:57 12	A. No, because I don't remember what version of
16:57:59 13	the operating system was Panther or which one was Tiger
16:58:03 14	and which one was Jaguar. So without understanding that,
16:58:07 15	I really it is hard for me to put this in context.
16:58:11 16	Q. Now, he refers to someone named Bryan Lamkin.
16:58:14 17	Do you see that?
16:58:15 18	A. Yes, I do see that.
16:58:17 19	Q. What was Bryan Lamkin's job at this time at
16:58:20 20	Adobe?
16:58:20 21	A. I believe he was a senior vice president in one
16:58:23 22	of our product groups.
16:58:24 23	Q. Did he work with Ron Okamoto with respect to
16:58:28 24	Apple, Adobe?
16:58:29 25	A. Ron Okamoto worked for him when Ron Okamoto was

16:58:32 1	with Adobe.
16:58:33 2	Q. Did Lamkin work with Okamoto after Okamoto went
16:58:37 3	to work for Apple?
16:58:38 4	A. Based on this email, I would assume so.
16:58:41 5	Q. Okay. And do you know whether either Lamkin or
16:58:47 6	Okamoto said to Apple, as indicated here, in no uncertain
16:58:54 7	terms that we, that is Apple, are now, quote, like
16:58:57 8	Microsoft to them, that is Adobe, and they trust our OS
16:59:01 9	team less this year than last? Do you know if that was
16:59:06 10	said to these individuals at Apple by people who reported
16:59:09 11	to you at Adobe?
16:59:10 12	A. I don't know if they said it.
16:59:13 13	Q. Well, do you know if that was true?
16:59:17 14	A. I have heard I heard people at Adobe say
16:59:20 15	that. But I don't know if they particularly said it and
16:59:24 16	who they said it to.
16:59:25 17	Q. So even though whether that thought or
16:59:29 18	belief expressed internally was communicated to the folks
16:59:33 19	at Apple.
16:59:34 20	A. I do not know.
16:59:35 21	Q. Did you ever discuss that with Jobs?
16:59:37 22	A. No.
16:59:54 23	MR. MITTELSTAEDT: By my count we've got
16:59:56 24	until we've got about until 5:36. And it is 5:02 now.
17:00:05 25	MR. SAVERI: Let's go off the record.
l l	

17:30:38 1	MR. SAVERI: I have just one follow-up
17:30:39 2	question.
17:30:39 3	
17:30:39 4	FURTHER EXAMINATION
17:30:39 5	BY MR. SAVERI:
17:30:40 6	Q. With respect to the 2005 collaborations that
17:30:43 7	Mr. Mittelstaedt was just asking you about, can you
17:30:45 8	identify any of them which you would identify as
17:30:48 9	"significant" that were in place at the time of the 2005
17:30:53 10	discussions with you and Jobs?
17:30:54 11	A. If you were able to provide me with the
17:30:57 12	different operating system changes and the different
17:31:00 13	hardware changes that Apple was embarking on, and the
17:31:04 14	different product upgrades that Adobe was embarking on at
17:31:08 15	that point in time, I'm sure I could identify numerous
17:31:10 16	significant collaborations that were going on. But
17:31:12 17	unless I'm able to identify those, I can't do so.
17:31:16 18	MR. SAVERI: Okay. I don't have any
17:31:17 19	questions I don't have any further questions. Thank
17:31:19 20	you very much for your time.
17:31:20 21	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
17:31:22 22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the end of Video 4
17:31:23 23	of 4 and concludes today's proceedings. The master
17:31:26 24	videos will be retained by Jordan Media. We are now off
17:31:29 25	the record. The time is 5:31.

Deposition of Bruce Cl	nizen In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION
16:51:38 1	(The deposition ended at 5:31 p.m.)
16:51:38 2	* * *
16:51:38 3	
16:51:38 4	
16:51:38 5	BRUCE CHIZEN
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

16:41:10 1	I, Rosalie A. Kramm, Certified Shorthand
16:41:10 2	Reporter licensed in the State of California, License No.
16:41:10 3	5469, hereby certify that the deponent was by me first
16:41:10 4	duly sworn and the foregoing testimony was reported by me
16:41:10 5	and was thereafter transcribed with computer-aided
16:41:10 6	transcription; that the foregoing is a full, complete,
16:41:10 7	and true record of said proceedings.
16:41:10 8	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
16:41:10 9	attorney for either of any of the parties in the
16:41:10 10	foregoing proceeding and caption named or in any way
16:41:10 11	interested in the outcome of the cause in said caption.
16:41:10 12	The dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of the
16:41:10 13	original transcript will render the reporter's
16:41:10 14	certificates null and void.
16:41:10 15	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand
16:41:10 16	this day: March 26, 2013.
16:41:10 17	X Reading and Signing was requested.
16:41:10 18	Reading and Signing was waived.
16:41:10 19	Reading and signing was not requested.
16:41:10 20	
16:41:10 21	
16:41:10 22	ROSALIE A. KRAMM
16:41:10 23	CSR 5469, RPR, CRR
16:41:10 24	
25	